

---

---

## Chapter 6

# Low-Frequency Antennas

**I**n theory there is no difference between antennas at 10 MHz and up and those for lower frequencies. In reality however, there are often important differences. It is the size of the antennas, which increases as frequency is decreased, that creates practical limits on what can be realized physically at reasonable cost.

At 7.3 MHz,  $1 \lambda = 133$  feet and by the time we get to 1.8 MHz,  $1 \lambda = 547$  feet. Even a  $\lambda/2$  dipole is very long on 160 meters. The result is that the average antenna for these bands is quite different from the higher bands, where Yagis and other relatively complex antennas dominate. In addition, vertical antennas can be more useful at low frequencies than they are on 20 meters and above because of the low heights (in wavelengths) usually available for horizontal antennas on the low bands. Much of the effort on the low bands is focused on how to build simple but effective antennas with limited resources. This section is devoted to antennas for use on amateur bands between 1.8 to 7 MHz.

## Horizontal Antennas

As shown in Chapter 3, radiation angles from horizontal antennas are a very strong function of the height above ground in wavelengths. Typically for DX work heights of  $\lambda/2$  to  $1 \lambda$  are considered to be a minimum. As we go down in frequency these heights become harder to realize. For example, a 160-meter dipole at 70 feet is only  $0.14 \lambda$  high. This antenna will be very effective for local and short distance QSOs but not very good for DX work. Despite this limitation, horizontal antennas are very popular on the lower bands because the low frequencies are often used for short range communications, local nets and rag chewing. Also horizontal antennas do not require extensive ground systems to be efficient.

### DIPOLE ANTENNAS


Half-wave dipoles and variations of these can be a very good choice for a low band antenna. A variety of possibilities are shown in **Fig 1**. An untuned or “flat” feed line is a logical choice on any band because the losses are low, but this generally limits the use of the antenna to one band. Where only single-band operation is wanted, the  $\lambda/2$  antenna fed with untuned line is one of the most popular systems on the 3.5 and 7-MHz bands.

If the antenna is a single-wire affair, its impedance is in the vicinity of  $60 \Omega$ , depending on the height and the ground characteristics. The most common way to feed the antenna is with  $72\text{-}\Omega$  twin-lead or 50 or  $75\text{-}\Omega$  coaxial line. Heavy duty twin-lead and coaxial line present support problems because they are a concentrated weight at the center of the antenna, tending to pull the center of the antenna down. This can be overcome by using an auxiliary pole to take at least some of the weight of the line. The line should come away from the antenna at right angles, and it can be of any length.

### Folded Dipoles

A folded dipole (**Fig 1B and C**) has an impedance of about  $300 \Omega$ , and can be fed directly with any length of  $300\text{-}\Omega$  line. The folded dipole can be made of ordinary wire spaced by lightweight wooden or plastic spacers, 4 or 6 inches long, or a piece of 300 or  $450\text{-}\Omega$  twin-lead or ladder line.

A folded dipole can be fed with a  $600\text{-}\Omega$  open-wire line with only a 2:1 SWR, but a nearly perfect match can be obtained with a three-wire dipole fed with either  $450\text{-}\Omega$  ladder line or  $600\text{-}\Omega$  open-wire




**Fig 1—Half-wavelength antennas for single band operation.** The multiwire types shown in B, C and D offer a better match to the feeder over a somewhat wider range of frequencies but otherwise the performances are identical. The feeder should run away from the antenna at a right angle for as great a distance as possible. In the coupling circuits shown, tuned circuits should resonate to the operating frequency. In the series-tuned circuits of A, B, and C, high L and low C are recommended, and in D the inductance and capacitance should be similar to the output-amplifier tank, with the feeders tapped across at least  $\frac{1}{2}$  the coil. The tapped-coil matching circuit shown in Chapter 25 can be substituted in each case.



line. One advantage of the two and three-wire antennas over the single wire is that they offer a better match over a wider band. This is particularly important if full coverage of the 3.5-MHz band is contemplated.

### Inverted-V Dipole

The halves of a dipole may be sloped to form an inverted V, as shown in **Fig 2**. This has the



**Fig 2—The inverted-V dipole. The length and apex angle should be adjusted as described in the text.**



**Fig 3—At A, elevation and at B, azimuthal radiation patterns comparing a normal 80-meter dipole and an inverted-V dipole. The center of both dipoles is at 65 feet and the ends of the inverted V are at 20 feet. The frequency is 3.750 MHz.**


advantages of requiring only a single high support and less horizontal space. There will be some difference in performance between a normal horizontal dipole and the inverted V as shown by the radiation patterns in **Fig 3**. There is small loss in peak gain and the pattern is less directional.

Sloping of the wires results in a lowering of the resonant frequency and a decrease in feed-point impedance and bandwidth. Thus, for the same frequency, the length of the dipole must be decreased somewhat. The angle at the apex is not critical, although it should probably be made no smaller than 90°. Because of the lower impedance, a 50-Ω line should be used. For those who are dissatisfied with anything but a perfect match, the usual procedure is to adjust the angle for lowest SWR while keeping the dipole resonant by adjustment of length. Bandwidth may be increased by using multiconductor elements, such as a cage configuration.


## PHASED HORIZONTAL ARRAYS

Phased arrays with horizontal elements, which provide some directional gain, can be used to advantage at 7 MHz, if they can be placed at least 40 feet above ground. At 3.5 MHz heights of 70 feet or more are needed for any real advantage. Many of the driven arrays discussed in Chapter 8 and even some of the Yagis discussed in Chapter 11 can be used as fixed directional antennas. If a bidirectional characteristic is desired, the W8JK array, shown in **Fig 4A**, is a good one. If a unidirectional characteristic is required, two elements can be mounted about 20 feet apart and provision included for tuning one of the elements as either a director or reflector, as shown in Fig 4B.

The parasitic element is tuned at the end of its feed line with a series or parallel-tuned circuit (whichever would normally be required to couple power into the line), and the proper tuning condition can be found by using the system for receiving and listening to distant stations along the line to the rear of the antenna. Tuning the feeder to the parasitic element can minimize the received signals from the back of the antenna. This is in effect adjusting the antenna for maximum front-to-back ratio. Maximum front-to-back does not occur at the same point as maximum forward gain but the loss in forward gain is very small. Adjusting the antenna for maximum forward gain (peaking received signals in the forward direction) may in-



**Fig 4—Directional antennas for 7 MHz.** To realize any advantage from these antennas, they should be at least 40 feet high. At A, system is bidirectional. At B, system is unidirectional in a direction depending on the tuning conditions of the parasitic element. The length of the elements in either antenna should be exactly the same, but any length from 60 to 150 feet can be used. If the length of the antenna at A is between 60 and 80 feet, the antenna will be bidirectional along the same line on both 7 and 14 MHz. The system at B can be made to work on 7 and 14 MHz in the same way, by keeping the length between 60 and 80 feet.



**Fig 5—Schematic for modified N6LF Double Extended Zepp.** Overall length is 170 feet, with 9.1 pF capacitors placed 25 feet each side of center.

crease the forward gain slightly but will almost certainly result in relatively poor front-to-back ratio.

### A MODIFIED EXTENDED DOUBLE ZEPP


If the distance between the available supports is greater than  $\lambda/2$  then a very simple form of a single wire collinear array can be used to achieve significant gain. The *extended double Zepp* antenna has long been used by amateurs and is discussed in [Chapter 8](#). A simple variation of this antenna with substantially improved bandwidth can be very useful on 3.5 and 7.0 MHz. The following material has been taken from an article by Rudy Severns, N6LF, in *The ARRL Antenna Compendium, Vol 4*.

The key to improving the characteristics of a standard double-extended Zepp is to modify the current distribution. One of the simplest ways to do this is to insert a reactance(s) in series with the wire. This could either be an inductor(s) or a capacitor(s). In general, a series capacitor will have a higher Q and therefore less loss. With either choice it is desirable to use as few components as possible.

As an initial trial at 7 MHz, only two capacitors, one on each side of the antenna, were used. The value and position of the capacitors was varied to see what would happen. It quickly became clear that the reactance at the feed point could be tuned out by adjusting the capacitor value, making the antenna look essentially like a resistor over the entire band. The value of the feed-point resistance could be varied from less than 150  $\Omega$  to over 1500  $\Omega$  by changing the location of the capacitors and adjusting their values to resonate the antenna.

A number of interesting combinations were created. The one ultimately selected is shown in [Fig 5](#). The antenna is 170 feet in length. Two 9.1 pF capacitors are located 25 feet out each side of the center. The antenna is fed with 450- $\Omega$  transmission line and a 9:1 three-core Guanella balun used at the transmitter to convert to 50  $\Omega$ . The transmission line can be any convenient length and it operates with a very low SWR.

That's all there is to it. The radiation pattern, overlaid with that for a standard DEZepp for comparison, is shown in [Fig 6](#). The sidelobes are now reduced to below 20 dB. The main lobe is now 43° wide at the 3-dB points, as opposed to 35° for the original DEZepp. The antenna has gain over a dipole for > 50° now and the gain of the main lobe



**Fig 6—Azimuth pattern for N6LF Double Extended Zepp (solid line), compared to classic Double Extended Zepp (dashed line). The main lobe for the modified antenna is slightly broader than that of the classic model, and the sidelobes are suppressed better.**

has dropped only 0.2 dB below the original DEZepp.

## Experimental Results

The antenna was made from #14 wire and the capacitors were made from 3.5-inch sections of RG-213, shown in **Fig 7A**. Note that great care should be taken to seal out moisture in these capacitors. The voltage across the capacitor for 1.5 kW will be about 2000 V so any corona will quickly destroy the capacitor.

A silicon sealant was used and then both ends covered with coax seal, finally wrapping it with plastic tape. The solder balls indicated on the drawing are to prevent wicking of moisture through the braid and the stranded center conductor. This is a small but important point if long service out in the weather is expected. An even better way to protect the capacitor would be to enclose it in a short piece of PVC pipe with end


caps, as shown in Fig 7B.

Note that all RG-8 type cables do not have exactly the same capacitance per foot and there will also be some end effect adding to the capacitance. If possible the capacitor should be trimmed with a capacitance meter. It isn't necessary to be too exact—the effect of varying the capacitance  $\pm 10\%$  was checked and the antenna still worked fine.


The results proved to be close to those predicted by the computer model. **Fig 8** shows the measured value for SWR across the band. These measurements were made with a Bird directional wattmeter. The worst SWR is 1.35:1 at the low end of the band.

Dick Ives, W7ISV, erected an 80-meter version of the antenna, shown in **Fig 9A**. The series capacitors are 17 pF. Since he isn't interested in CW, Dick adjusted the length for the lowest SWR at the high end of the band, as shown in the SWR curve (Fig 9B). The antenna could have been tuned somewhat lower in frequency and would then provide an SWR  $< 2:1$  over the entire band, as indicated by the dashed line.


This antenna provides wide bandwidth and moderate gain over the entire 75/80-meter band. Not many antennas will give you that with a simple wire structure.



**Fig 7—Construction details for series capacitor made from RG-213 coaxial cable. At A, the method used by N6LF is illustrated. At B, a suggested method to seal capacitor better against weather is shown, using a section of PVC pipe with end caps.**



**Fig 8—Measured SWR curve across 40-meter band for N6LF DEZepp.**



**Fig 9—75/80-meter modified Double Extended Zepp, designed using NEC Wires. At A, a schematic is shown for antenna. At B, SWR curve is shown across 75/80-meter band. Solid line shows measured curve for W7ISV antenna, which was pruned to place SWR minimum higher in the band. The dashed curve shows the computed response when SWR minimum is set to 3.8 MHz.**

## Vertical Antennas

On the low bands vertical antennas become increasingly attractive, especially for DX work, because they provide a means for lowering the radiation angle. This is especially true where practical heights for horizontal antennas are too low. In addition, verticals can be very simple and unobtrusive structures. For example, it is very easy to disguise a vertical as a flagpole. In fact an actual flagpole may be used as a vertical. Performance of a vertical is determined by several factors:

- Height of the vertical portion of the radiator
- The ground or counterpoise system efficiency
- Ground characteristics in the near and far-field regions
- The efficiency of loading elements and matching networks

For best performance the vertical portion of the antenna should be  $\lambda/4$  or more, but this is not an absolute requirement. With proper design, antennas as short as  $0.1 \lambda$  or even less can be efficient and effective. Antennas shorter than  $\lambda/4$  will be reactive and some form of loading and perhaps a matching network, will be required.

If the radiator is made of wire supported by nonconducting material, the approximate length for  $\lambda/4$  resonance can be found from:

$$l_{\text{feet}} = \frac{234}{f_{\text{MHz}}} \quad (\text{Eq 1})$$

For tubing, the length for resonance must be shorter than given by the above equation, as the length-to-diameter ratio is lower than for wire (see Chapter 2). For a tower, the resonant length will be shorter still. In any case, after installation the antenna length (height) can be adjusted for resonance at the desired frequency.

The effect of ground characteristics on losses and elevation pattern is discussed in detail in [Chapter 3](#). The most important points made in that discussion are the effect of ground characteristics on the radiation pattern and the means for achieving low ground resistance in a buried ground system. As ground conductivity increases, low-angle radiation improves. This makes a vertical very attractive to those who live in areas with good ground conductivity. If your QTH is on a saltwater beach, then a vertical would be very effective, even when compared to horizontal antennas at great height.




When a buried-radial ground system is used, the efficiency of the antenna will be limited by the loss resistance of the ground system. The ground can be a number of radial wires extending out from the base of the antenna for about  $\lambda/4$ . Driven ground rods, while satisfactory for electrical safety and for lightning protection, are of little value as an RF ground for a vertical antenna, except perhaps in marshy or beach areas. As pointed out in Chapter 3, many long radials are desirable. In general, however, a large number of short radials are preferable to only a few long radials, although the best system would have 60 or more radials longer than  $\lambda/4$ . An elevated system of radials or a ground screen (*counterpoise*) may be used instead of buried radials, and can result in an efficient antenna.

## ELEVATED RADIALS AND COUNTERPOISES

*Elevated radials*, isolated from ground, can be used in place of an extensive buried radial system. **Work by Al Christman, KB8I**, has shown that 4 to 8 elevated radials can provide performance comparable to a 120  $\lambda/4$ -long buried wires. This is especially important for the low bands, where such a buried ground system is very large and impractical for most amateurs. An elevated ground system is sometimes referred to as a *ground plane* or *counterpoise*. **Fig 10** compares buried and elevated ground systems, showing the difference in current flow in the two systems.

An elevated ground can take several forms. A number of wires arranged with radial symmetry around the base of the antenna is shown in Fig 10B. Four radials are normally used, but as few as two, or as many as eight, can be used. For a given height of vertical, the length of the radials can be adjusted to resonate the antenna. For a  $\lambda/4$  vertical, the radials are normally  $\lambda/4$  long.



**Fig 10—How earth currents affect the losses in a short vertical antenna system. At A, the current through the combination of  $C_E$  and  $R_E$  may be appreciable if  $C_E$  is much greater than  $C_W$ , the capacitance of the vertical to the ground wires. This ratio can be improved (up to a point) by using more radials. By raising the entire antenna system off the ground,  $C_E$  (which consists of the series combination of  $C_{E1}$  and  $C_{E2}$ ) is decreased while  $C_W$  stays the same. The radial system shown at B is sometimes called a counterpoise.**


In the case of a multiband vertical, two or more sets of radials, with different lengths, may be interleaved. The radials associated with each band are adjusted for resonance on their associated band.

A counterpoise is most commonly a system of elevated radials, where the radial wires are interconnected with jumpers, as shown in **Fig 11**. As illustrated in **Fig 10**, the purpose of the elevated-ground system is to provide a return path for the displacement currents flowing in the vicinity of the antenna. The idea is to minimize the current flowing through the ground itself, which is usually very lossy. By raising the radials above ground most of the current will flow in the radials, which are good conductors. This allows a simple radial system to provide a very efficient ground. However, there is a price to be paid for this.

The ground system now has a direct effect on the feed-point impedance, introducing reactance as well as resistance, and is relatively narrow band. For a given vertical height, the radial length must be adjusted to resonate the antenna. The length of the radials must be readjusted for each band if a multi-band vertical is used. As pointed out above, this usually means the installation of a set of radials for each band. To minimize current flowing in the ground, the antenna, ground plane and feed line must be isolated from ground for RF. More on this later.

The height of the vertical does not have to be exactly  $\lambda/4$ . Other lengths may be used and the antenna may be resonated by adjusting the length of the radials. **Table 1** gives a comparison between three different vertical lengths in an antenna using four elevated radials at 3.525 MHz.

An important feature of Table 1 is the dramatic reduction in radial length ( $L_2$ ) with even a small increase in vertical height ( $L_1$ ). For example, increasing the height by 5 feet reduces the radial length by 22 feet. On the other hand even a small decrease in  $L_1$  can cause a substantial increase in  $L_2$ . This would be very undesirable, since the area required by the radials is already considerable. Notice also that the small increase in height raises  $R_R$  to 51  $\Omega$ . This trick of increasing the height slightly to reduce the size of the elevated ground system and to increase the input resistance can be very useful. In a following section the use of *top loading* for short antennas will be discussed. Top loading can also be used on a  $\lambda/4$  vertical to achieve the same effect as increasing the height—the ability to use shorter radials and a better match.



**Fig 11—Counterpoise, showing the radial wires connected together by cross wires. The length of the perimeter of the individual meshes should be  $< \lambda/4$  to prevent undesired resonances. Sometimes the center portion of the counterpoise is made from wire mesh.**


### GROUND-PLANE ANTENNAS

The ground-plane antenna is a  $\lambda/4$  vertical with four radials, as shown in **Fig 12**. The entire antenna is elevated above ground with the radials angled downward. A practical example of a 7-MHz ground-plane antenna is given in **Fig 13**. As explained earlier, elevating the antenna reduces the ground loss and lowers the radiation angle some-

**Table 1**  
**Illustration of the Effect of Variable Vertical Height ( $L_1$ ) on Elevated Radial Length ( $L_2$ ) and  $R_R$**

#12 Wire, Elevated 5 Feet Over Average Ground at 3.525 MHz			
$L_1$ $\lambda$	$L_1$ (feet)	$L_2$ (feet)	$R_R$ ( $\Omega$ )
0.225	62.8	94	28.8
0.25	69.8	67	38.4
0.27	75.0	45	51.0
0.3	83.7	24	75.9





**Fig 12—The ground-plane antenna. Power is applied between the base of the vertical radiator and the center of the ground plane, as indicated in the drawing. Decoupling from the transmission line and any conductive support structure is highly desirable.**

what. The radials are sloped downward to make the feed-point impedance closer to 50  $\Omega$ .


The feed-point impedance of the antenna varies with the height above ground, and to a lesser extent varies with the ground characteristics. **Fig 14** is a graph of feed-point resistance ( $R_R$ ) for a ground-plane antenna with the radials parallel to the ground.  $R_R$  is plotted as a function of height above ground. Notice that the difference between perfect ground and average ground ( $\epsilon=13$  and  $\sigma = 0.005$  S/m) is small, except when quite close to ground. Near ground  $R_R$  is between 36 and 40  $\Omega$ . This is a reasonable match for 50- $\Omega$  feed line but as the antenna is raised above ground  $R_R$  drops to approximately 22  $\Omega$ , which is not a very good match. The feed-point resistance can be increased by sloping the radials downward, away from the vertical section.

The effect of sloping the radials is shown in **Fig 15**. The graph is for an antenna well above ground ( $> 0.3 \lambda$ ). Notice that  $R_R = 50 \Omega$  when the radials are sloped downward at an angle of  $45^\circ$ . The resonant length of the antenna will vary slightly with the angle. In addition, the resonant length will vary a small amount with height above the ground. It is for these reasons, as well as the effect of conductor diameter, that some adjustment of the radial lengths is usually required. When the ground-plane antenna is used on the higher HF bands and at VHF, the height above ground is usually such that a radial sloping angle of  $45^\circ$  will give a good match to 50- $\Omega$  feed line.


The effect of height on  $R_R$  with a radial angle of  $45^\circ$  is shown in **Fig 16**. At 7 MHz and lower, it is seldom possible to elevate the antenna a significant portion of a wavelength and the radial angle required to match to 50- $\Omega$  line is usually of the order of  $10^\circ$  to  $20^\circ$ . To make the vertical portion of the antenna as long as possible, it may be better to accept a slightly poorer match and keep the radials parallel to ground.

The principles of the folded dipole (**Fig 1**) can also be applied to the ground-plane antenna, as shown in **Fig 17**. This is the *folded monopole* antenna. The feed-point resistance can be controlled by the number of parallel vertical conductors and the ratios of their diameters.


As mentioned earlier, it is important in most installations to isolate the antenna from the feed line and any conductive supporting structure. This is done to minimize the return current conducted through




**Fig 13—A ground-plane antenna is effective for DX work on 7 MHz. Although its base can be any height above ground, losses in the ground underneath will be reduced by keeping the bottom of the antenna and the ground plane as high above ground as possible. Feeding the antenna directly with 50- $\Omega$  coaxial cable will result in a low SWR. The vertical radiator and the radials are all  $\lambda/4$  long electrically. Contrary to popular myth, the radials need not necessarily be 5% longer than the radiator. Their physical length will depend on their length-to-diameter ratios, the height over ground and the length of the vertical radiator, as discussed in text.**




**Fig 14—Radiation resistance of a 4-radial ground-plane antenna as a function of height over ground. Perfect and average ground are shown. Frequency is 3.525 MHz. Radial angle ( $\theta$ ) is  $0^\circ$ .**



**Fig 16—Radiation resistance and resonant length for a 4-radial ground-plane antenna for various heights above average ground for radial droop angle  $\theta = 45^\circ$ .**



**Fig 15—Radiation resistance and resonant length for a 4-radial ground-plane antenna  $> 0.3 \lambda$  above ground as a function of radial droop angle ( $\theta$ ).**



**Fig 17—The folded monopole antenna. Shown here is a ground plane of four  $\lambda/4$  radials. The folded element may be operated over an extensive counterpoise system or mounted on the ground and worked against buried radials and the earth's with the folded dipole antenna, the feed-point impedance depends on the ratios of the radiator conductor sizes and their spacing.**

the ground. A return current on the feed line or the support structure can drastically alter the radiation pattern, usually for the worse. For these reasons, a balun (see [Chapter 26](#)) or other isolation scheme must be used. 1:1 baluns are effective for the higher bands but at 3.5 and 1.8 MHz commercial baluns often have too low a shunt inductance to provide adequate isolation. It is very easy to recognize when the isolation is inadequate. When the antenna is being adjusted by means of an isolated impedance or SWR meter, adjustments may be sensitive to your touching the instrument. After adjustment and after the feed line is attached, the SWR may be drastically different. When the feed line is inadequately isolated, the apparent resonant frequency or the length of the radials required for resonance may also be significantly different from what you expect.

In general, an isolation choke inductance of 50 to 100  $\mu\text{H}$  will be needed for 3.5 and 1.8-MHz ground-plane antennas. One of the easiest ways to make the required isolation choke is to wind a length of coaxial cable into a coil as shown in [Fig 18](#). For 1.8 MHz, 30 turns of RG-213 wound on a 14-inch length of 8-inch diameter PVC pipe, will make a very good isolation choke that can handle full legal

power continuously. A smaller choke could be wound on 4-inch diameter plastic drain pipe using RG-8X or a Teflon insulated cable. The important point here is to isolate or decouple the antenna from the feed line and support structure.

A full-size ground-plane antenna is often a little impractical for 3.5-MHz and quite impractical for 1.8 MHz, but it can be used at 7 MHz to good advantage, particularly for DX work. Smaller versions can be very useful on 3.5 and 1.8 MHz.

## EXAMPLES OF VERTICALS

There are many possible ways to build a vertical antenna—the limits are set by your ingenuity. The primary problem is creating the vertical portion of the antenna with sufficient height. Some of the more common means are:


- A dedicated tower
- Using an existing tower with an HF Yagi on top
- A wire suspended from a tree limb or the side of a building
- A vertical wire supported by a line between two trees or other supports
- A tall pole supporting a conductor
- Flagpoles
- Light standards
- Irrigation pipe
- TV masts

If you have the space and the resources, the most straightforward means is to erect a dedicated tower for a vertical. While this is certainly an effective approach, many amateurs do not have the space or the funds to do this, especially if they already have a tower with an HF antenna on the top. The existing tower can be used as a top-loaded vertical, using shunt feed and a ground radial system. A system like this is shown in **Fig 19B**.


For those who live in an area with tall trees, it may be possible to install a support rope between two trees, or between a tree and an existing tower. (Under no circumstances should you use an active utility pole!) The vertical portion of the antenna can be a wire suspended from the support line to ground, as shown in **Fig 19C**. If top loading is needed, some or all of the support line can be made part of the antenna.

Your local utility company will periodically have older power poles that they no longer wish to keep in service. These are sometimes available at little or no expense. If you see a power line under reconstruction or repair in your area you might stop and speak with the crew foreman. Sometimes they will have removed older poles they will not use again and will have to haul them back to their shop for disposal. Your offer for local “disposal” may well be accepted. Such a pole can be used in conjunction with a tubing or whip extension such as that shown in **Fig 19A**. Power poles are not your only option. In some areas of the US, such as the southeast or northwest, tall poles made directly from small conifers are available.

Freestanding (unguyed) flagpoles and roadway illumination standards are available in heights exceeding 100 feet. These are made of fiberglass, aluminum or galvanized steel. All of these are candidates for verticals. Flagpole suppliers are listed under “Flags and Banners” in your Yellow Pages. For lighting standards (lamp posts), you can contact a local electrical hardware distributor. Like a wooden



**Fig 18—A choke balun with sufficient impedance to isolate the antenna properly can be made by winding coaxial cable around a section of plastic pipe. Suitable dimensions are given in the text.**



**Fig 19—Vertical antennas are effective for 3.5 or 7-MHz work. The  $\lambda/4$  antenna shown at A is fed directly with 50- $\Omega$  coaxial line, and the resulting SWR is usually less than 1.5 to 1, depending on the ground resistance. If a grounded antenna is used as at B, the antenna can be shunt fed with either 50 or 75- $\Omega$  coaxial line. The tap for best match and the value of C will have to be found by experiment. The line running up the side of the antenna should be spaced 6 to 12 inches from the antenna. If tall trees are available the antenna can be supported from a line suspended between the trees, as shown in C. If the vertical section is not long enough then the horizontal support section can be made of wire and act as top loading. A pole or even a grounded tower can be used with elevated radials if a cage of four to six wires is provided as shown in D. The cage surrounds the pole which may be wood or a grounded conductor.**

pole, a fiberglass flagpole does not require a base insulator, but metal poles do. Guy wires will be needed.

One option to avoid the use of guys and a base insulator, is to mount the pole directly into the ground as originally intended and then use shunt feed. If you want to keep the pole grounded but would like to use elevated radials you can attach a cage of wires (four to six) at the top as shown in Fig 19D. The cage surrounds the pole and allows the pole (or tower for that matter) to be grounded while allowing elevated radials to be used. The use of a cage of wires surrounding the pole or tower is a very good way to increase the effective diameter. This reduces the Q of the antenna, thereby increasing the bandwidth. It can also reduce the conductor loss, especially if the pole is galvanized steel, which is not a very good RF conductor.

Aluminum irrigation tubing, which comes in diameters of 3 and 4 inches and in lengths of 20 to 40 feet, is widely available in rural areas. One or two lengths of tubing connected together can make a

very good vertical when guyed with non-conducting line. It is also very lightweight and relatively easy to erect. A variety of TV masts are available which can also be used for verticals.

### **1.8-3.5 MHz VERTICAL USING AN EXISTING TOWER**

A tower can be used as a vertical antenna, provided that a good ground system is available. The shunt-fed tower is at its best on 1.8 MHz, where a full  $\lambda/4$  vertical antenna is rarely possible. Almost any tower height can be used. If the beam structure provides some top loading, so much the better, but anything can be made to radiate—if it is fed properly. W5RTQ uses a self-supporting, aluminum, crank-up, tilt-over tower, with a TH6DXX tribander mounted at 70 feet. Measurements showed that the entire structure has about the same properties as a 125-foot vertical. It thus works quite well as an antenna on 1.8 and 3.5 MHz for DX work requiring low-angle radiation.

#### **Preparing the Structure**

Usually some work on the tower system must be done before shunt-feeding is tried. If present, metallic guys should be broken up with insulators. They can be made to simulate top loading, if needed, by judicious placement of the first insulators. Don't overdo it; there is no need to "tune the radiator to resonance" in this way since a shunt feed is employed. If the tower is fastened to a house at a point more than about one-fourth of the height of the tower, it may be desirable to insulate the tower from the building. Plexiglas sheet,  $1/4$ -inch or more thick, can be bent to any desired shape for this purpose, if it is heated in an oven and bent while hot.

All cables should be taped tightly to the tower, on the inside, and run down to the ground level. It is not necessary to bond shielded cables to the tower electrically, but there should be no exceptions to the down-to-the-ground rule.

A good system of buried radials is very desirable. The ideal would be 120 radials, each 250 feet long, but fewer and shorter ones must often suffice. You can lay them around corners of houses, along fences or sidewalks, wherever they can be put a few inches under the surface, or even on the earth's surface. Aluminum clothesline wire may be used extensively in areas where it will not be subject to corrosion. Neoprene-covered aluminum wire will be better in highly acid soils. Contact with the soil is not important. Deep-driven ground rods and connection to underground copper water pipes may be helpful, if available, especially to provide some protection from lightning.

#### **Installing the Shunt Feed**

Principal details of the shunt-fed tower for 1.8 and 3.5 MHz are shown in **Fig 20**. Rigid rod or tubing can be used for the feed portion, but heavy gauge aluminum or copper wire is easier to work with. Flexible stranded #8 copper wire is used at W5RTQ for the 1.8-MHz feed, because when the tower is cranked down, the feed wire must come down with it. Connection is made at the top, 68 feet, through a 4-foot length of aluminum tubing clamped to the top of the tower, horizontally. The wire is clamped to the tubing at the outer end, and runs down vertically through standoff insulators. These are made by fitting 12-inch lengths of PVC plastic water pipe over 3-foot lengths of aluminum tubing. These are clamped to the tower at 15 to 20-foot intervals, with the bottom clamp about 3 feet above ground. These lengths allow for adjustment of the tower-to-wire spacing over a range of about 12 to 36 inches, for impedance matching.

The gamma-match capacitor for 1.8 MHz is a 250-pF variable with about  $1/6$ -inch plate spacing. This is adequate for power levels up to about 200 W. A large transmitting or a vacuum-variable capacitor should be used for high-power applications.

#### **Tuning Procedure**

The 1.8-MHz feed wire should be connected to the top of the structure if it is 75 feet tall or less. Mount the standoff insulators so as to have a spacing of about 24 inches between wire and tower. Pull the wire taut and clamp it in place at the bottom insulator. Leave a little slack below to permit adjustment of the wire spacing, if necessary.





them together to the center conductor of a single feed line. Voilà! Instant elevated ground plane.

Now, all you need to do is determine how to tune the antenna to resonance. With no antennas on the top of the tower, the tower can be thought of as a fat conductor and should be approximately 4% shorter than a quarter wavelength in free space. Calculate this length and attach four insulated quarter-wavelength radials at this distance from the top of the tower. For 80 meters, a feed point 65 feet below the top of an unloaded tower is called for. The tower guys must be broken up with insulators for all such installations. For 160 meters, 130 feet of tower above the feed point is needed.

What can be done with a typical grounded-tower-and-Yagi installation? A top-mounted Yagi acts as a large capacitance hat, top loading the tower. Fortunately, top loading is the most efficient means of loading a vertical antenna.

The examples in **Table 2** should give us an idea of how much top loading might be expected from typical amateur antennas. The values listed in the *Equivalent Loading* column tell us the approximate vertical height replaced by the antennas listed in a top-loaded vertical antenna. To arrive at the remaining amount of tower needed for resonance, subtract these numbers from the non-loaded tower height needed for resonance. Note that for all but the 10-meter antennas, the equivalent loading equals or exceeds a quarter wavelength on 40 meters. For typical HF Yagis, this method is best used only on 80 and 160 meters.

### Construction Examples

Consider this example: A TH7 triband Yagi mounted on a 40-foot tower. The TH7 has approximately the same overall dimensions as a full-sized 3-element 20-meter beam, but has more interlaced elements. Its equivalent loading is estimated to be 40 feet. At 3.6 MHz, 65 feet of tower is needed without loading. Subtracting 40 feet of equivalent loading, the feed point should be 25 feet below the TH7 antenna.


Ten quarter-wavelength (65-foot) radials were run from a nylon rope tied between tower legs at the 15-foot level, to various supports 10 feet high. Nylon cord was tied to the insulated, stranded, #18 wire, without using insulators. The radials are all connected together and to the center of an exact half wavelength (at 3.6 MHz) of RG-213 coax, which will repeat the antenna feed impedance at the other end. **Fig 21** is a drawing of the installation. The author used a Hewlett-Packard low-frequency impedance analyzer to measure the input impedance across the 80-meter band. An exact resonance (zero reactance) was seen at 3.6 MHz, just as predicted. The radiation resistance was found to be 17 Ω. The next question is, how to feed and match the antenna.

One good approach to 80-meter antennas is to tune them to the low end of the band, use a low-loss transmission line, and switch an antenna tuner in line for operation in the higher portions of the band. With a 50-Ω line, the 17-Ω radiation resistance represents a 3:1 SWR, meaning that an antenna tuner should be in-line for all frequencies. For short runs, it would be permissible to use RG-8 or RG-213 directly to the tuner. If you have a plentiful supply of low-loss 75-Ω CATV rigid coax, you can take another approach.


Make a quarter-wave (70 feet × 0.66 velocity factor = 46 feet) 37-Ω matching line by paralleling two pieces of RG-59 and connecting them between the feed point and a run of the rigid coax to the transmitter. The magic of quarter-wave matching transformers is that the input impedance ( $R_i$ ) and output impedance ( $R_o$ ) are related by:

**Table 2**  
**Effective Loading of Common Yagi Antennas**

Antenna	Boom Length (feet)	S (area, ft <sup>2</sup> )	Equivalent Loading (feet)
3L 20	24	768	39
5L 15	26	624	35
4L 15	20	480	31
3L 15	16	384	28
5L 10	24	384	28
4L 10	18	288	24
3L 10	12	192	20
TH7	24	—	40 (estimated)
TH3	14	—	27 (estimated)



**Fig 21—At A, an 80-meter top-loaded, reverse-fed elevated ground plane, using a 40-foot tower carrying a TH7 triband Yagi antenna. At B, dimensions of the 3.6-MHz matching network, made from RG-59.**



**Fig 22—A 160-meter antenna using a 75-foot tower carrying stacked triband Yagis.**

$$Z_0^2 = R_i \times R_O \quad (\text{Eq 2})$$

For  $R_i = 17 \, \Omega$  and  $Z_0 = 37 \, \Omega$ ,  $R_O = 80 \, \Omega$ , an almost perfect match for the 75- $\Omega$  CATV coax. The resulting 1.6:1 SWR at the transmitter is good enough for CW operation without a tuner.

### 160-Meter Operation

On the 160-meter band, a resonant quarter-wavelength requires 130 feet of tower above the radials. That's a pretty tall order. Subtracting 40 feet of top loading for a 3-element 20-meter or TH7 antenna brings us to a more reasonable 90 feet above the radials. Additional top loading in the form of more antennas will reduce that even more.

Another installation, using stacked TH6s on a 75-foot tower, is shown in **Fig 22**. The radials are 10 feet off the ground.

### PHASED VERTICALS

Two or more vertical antennas spaced apart can be operated as a single antenna system to obtain additional gain and a directional pattern. There is an extensive discussion of phased arrays in Chapter 8. Much of this material is useful for low-band antennas.

### The Half-Square Antenna

The *half-square* antenna is a very simple form of vertical two-element phased array that can be very effective on the low bands. The following section was originally presented in *The ARRL Antenna Compendium, Vol 5*, by Rudy Severns, N6LF.

A simple modification to a standard dipole is to add two  $\lambda/4$  vertical wires, one at each end, as shown in **Fig 23**. This makes a *half-square antenna*. The antenna can be fed at one corner (low-impedance, current fed) or at the lower end of one of the vertical wires (high-impedance, voltage fed). Other feed arrangements are also possible.

The “classical” dimensions for this antenna are  $\lambda/2$  (131 feet at 3.75 MHz) for the top wire and  $\lambda/4$  (65.5 feet) for the vertical wires. However, there is nothing sacred about these dimensions! They can vary over a wide range and still obtain nearly the same performance.

This antenna is two  $\lambda/4$  verticals, spaced  $\lambda/2$ , fed in-phase by the top wire. The current maximums are at the top corners. The theoretical gain over a single vertical is 3.8 dB. An important advantage of this antenna is that it does not require the extensive ground system and feed arrangements that a conventional pair of phased  $\lambda/4$  verticals would.


### Comparison to a Dipole

In the past, one of the things that has turned off potential users of the half-square on 80 and 160 meters is the perceived need for  $\lambda/4$  vertical sections. This forces the height to be >65 feet on 80 meters and >130 feet on 160 meters. That’s not really a problem. If you don’t have the height there are several things you can do. For example, just fold the ends in, as shown in **Fig 24**. This compromises the performance surprisingly little.


It is helpful to compare the examples given in Figs 23 and 24 to dipoles at the same height. Two heights, 40 and 80 feet, and average, very good and sea water grounds, were used for this comparison. It is also assumed that the lower end of the vertical wires had to be a minimum of 5 feet above ground.

At 40 feet the half-square is really mangled, with only 35-foot high ( $\approx \lambda/8$ ) vertical sections. The comparison between this antenna and a dipole of the same height is shown in **Fig 25**. Over average ground the half-square is superior below  $32^\circ$  and at  $15^\circ$  is almost 5 dB better. That is a worthwhile improvement. If you have very good soil conductivity, like parts of the lower Midwest and South, then the half-square will be superior below  $38^\circ$  and at  $15^\circ$  will be nearly 8 dB better. For those fortunate few with saltwater frontal property the advantage at  $15^\circ$  is 11 dB! Notice also that above  $35^\circ$ , the response drops off rapidly. This is great for DX but is not good for local work.


If we push both antennas up to 80 feet (**Fig 26**) the differences become smaller and the advantage over average ground is 3 dB at  $15^\circ$ . The




**Fig 23—Typical 80-meter half-square, with  $\lambda/4$ -high vertical legs and a  $\lambda/2$ -long horizontal leg. The antenna may be fed at the bottom or at a corner. When fed at a corner, the feed point is a low-impedance, current-feed. When fed at the bottom of one of the wires against a small ground counterpoise, the feed point is a high-impedance, voltage-feed.**



**Fig 24—An 80-meter half-square configured for 40-foot high supports. The ends have been bent inward to reresonate the antenna. The performance is compromised surprisingly little.**



**Fig 25—Comparison of 80-meter elevation response of 40-foot high, horizontally polarized dipole over average ground and a 40-foot high, vertically polarized half-square, over three types of ground: average (conductivity  $\sigma = 5$  mS/m, dielectric constant  $\epsilon = 13$ ), very good ( $\sigma = 30$  mS/m,  $\epsilon = 20$ ) and salt water ( $\sigma = 5000$  mS/m,  $\epsilon = 80$ ). The quality of the ground clearly has a profound effect on the low-angle performance of the half-square. Even over average ground, however, the half-square outperforms the low dipole below about  $32^\circ$ .**



**Fig 26—Comparison of 80-meter elevation response of 80-foot high, horizontally polarized dipole over average ground and an 80-foot high, vertically polarized half-square, over same three types of ground as in Fig 25: average, good and salt water. The greater height of the dipole narrows the gap in performance at low elevation angles, but the half-square is still a superior DX antenna, especially when the ground nearby is salt water! For local, high-angle contacts, the dipole is definitely the winner, by almost 20 dB when the angle is near 90°.**

message here is that the lower your dipole and the better your ground, the more you have to gain by switching from a dipole to a half-square. The half-square antenna looks like a good bet for DXing.


### Changing the Shape

Just how flexible is the shape? There are several common distortions of practical importance. Some have very little effect but a few are fatal to the gain. Suppose you have either more height and less width than called for in the standard version or more width and less height, as shown in Fig 27A.

The effect on gain from this type of dimensional variation is given in Table 3. For a top length ( $L_T$ ) varying between 110 and 150 feet, where the vertical wire lengths ( $L_V$ ) readjusted to resonate the antenna, the gain changes only by 0.6 dB. For a 1 dB change the range of  $L_T$  is 100 to 155 feet, a pretty wide range.

Another variation results if we vary the length of the horizontal top wire and readjust the vertical wires for resonance, while keeping the top at a constant height. See Fig 27B. Table 4 shows the effect of this variation on the peak gain. For a range of  $L_T = 110$  to 145 feet, the gain changes only 0.65 dB.

The effect of bending the ends into a V shape, as shown in Fig 27C, is given in Table 5. The bottom of the antenna is kept at a height of 5 feet and the top height ( $H$ ) is either 40 or 60 feet. Even this gross deformation has only a relatively small effect on the gain. Sloping the ends outward as



**Fig 27—Varying the horizontal and vertical lengths of a half-square. At A, both the horizontal and vertical legs are varied, while keeping the antenna resonant. At B, the height of the horizontal wire is kept constant, while its length and that of the vertical legs is varied to keep the antenna resonant. At C, the length of the horizontal wire is varied and the legs are bent inward in the shape of “vees.” At D, the ends are sloped outward and the length of the flattop portion is varied. All these symmetrical forms of distortion of the basic half-square shape result in small performance losses.**

**Table 3**

**Variation in Gain with Change in Horizontal Length, with Vertical Height Readjusted for Resonance (see Fig 27A)**

$L_T$ (feet)	$L_V$ (feet)	Gain (dBi)
100	85.4	2.65
110	79.5	3.15
120	73.7	3.55
130	67.8	3.75
140	61.8	3.65
150	56	3.05
155	53	2.65

**Table 5**

**Gain for Half-Square Antenna, Where Ends Are Bent Into V-Shape (see Fig 27C)**

Height $\Rightarrow$ H=40'		H=40'	H=60'	H=60'
$L_T$ (feet)	$L_e$ (feet)	Gain (dBi)	$L_e$ (feet)	Gain (dBi)
40	57.6	3.25	52.0	2.75
60	51.4	3.75	45.4	3.35
80	45.2	3.95	76.4	3.65
100	38.6	3.75	61.4	3.85
120	31.7	3.05	44.4	3.65
140	—	—	23	3.05

shown in Fig 27D and varying the top length also has only a small effect on the gain. While this is good news because it allows you dimension the antenna to fit different QTHs, not all distortions are so benign.

Suppose the two ends are not of the same height, as illustrated in Fig 28, where one end of the half-square is 20 feet higher than the other. The radiation pattern for this antenna is shown in Fig 29 compared to a dipole at 50 feet. This type of distortion does affect the pattern. The gain drops somewhat and the zenith null goes away. The nulls off the end of the antenna also go away, so that there is some end-fire radiation. In this example the difference in height is fairly extreme at 20 feet. Small differences of 1 to 5 feet do not affect the pattern seriously.

If the top height is the same at both ends but the length of the vertical wires is not the same, then a similar pattern distortion can occur. The antenna is very tolerant of symmetrical distortions but it is much less accepting of asymmetrical distortion.

What if the length of the wires is such that the antenna is not resonant? Depending on the feed arrangement, that may or may not matter. We will look at that issue later on, in the section on patterns versus frequency. The half-square antenna, like the dipole, is very flexible in its proportions.

### Feed-Point Impedance


There are many different ways to feed the half-square. Traditionally the antenna has been fed either at the end of one of the vertical sections, against ground, or at one of the upper corners as shown in Fig 23.

For voltage feed at the bottom against ground, the impedance is very high, on the order of several thousand ohms. For current feed at a corner, the impedance is much lower and is usually close to 50  $\Omega$ . This is very convenient for direct feed with coax.

**Table 4**

**Variation in Gain with Change in Horizontal Length, with Vertical Length Readjusted for Resonance, but Horizontal Wire Kept at Constant Height (see Fig 27B)**

$L_T$ (feet)	$L_V$ (feet)	Gain (dBi)
110	78.7	3.15
120	73.9	3.55
130	68	3.75
140	63	3.35
145	60.7	3.05



**Fig 28—An asymmetrical distortion of the half-square antenna, where the bottom of one leg is purposely made 20 feet higher than the other. This type of distortion does affect the pattern!**



The half-square is a relatively high-Q antenna ( $Q \approx 17$ ). **Fig 30** shows the SWR variation with frequency for this feed arrangement. An 80-meter dipole is not particularly wideband either, but a dipole will have less extreme variation in SWR than the half-square.

### Patterns Versus Frequency


Impedance is not the only issue when defining the bandwidth of an antenna. The effect on the radiation pattern of changing frequency is also a concern. For a voltage-fed half-square, the current distribution changes with frequency. For an antenna resonant near 3.75 MHz, the current distribution is nearly symmetrical. However, above and below resonance the current distribution increasingly becomes asymmetrical. In effect, the open end of the antenna is constrained to be a voltage maximum but the feed point can behave less as a voltage point and more like a current maxima. This allows the current distribution to become asymmetrical.

The effect is to reduce the gain by  $-0.4$  dB at 3.5 MHz and by  $-0.6$  dB at 4 MHz. The depth of the zenith null is reduced from  $-20$  dB to  $-10$  dB. The side nulls are also reduced. Note that this is exactly what happened when the antenna was made physically asymmetrical. Whether the asymmetry is due to current distribution or mechanical arrangements, the antenna pattern will suffer.


When current-feed at a corner is used, the asymmetry introduced by off-resonance operation is much less, since both ends of the antenna are open circuits and constrained to be voltage maximums. The resulting gain reduction is only  $-0.1$  dB. It is interesting that the sensitivity of the pattern to changing frequency depends on the feed scheme used.

Of more concern for corner feed is the effect of the transmission line. The usual instruction is to simply feed the antenna using coax, with the shield connected to vertical wire and the center conductor to the top wire. Since the shield of the coax is a conductor, more or less parallel with the radiator, and is in the immediate field of the antenna, you might expect the pattern to be seriously distorted by this practice. This arrangement seems to have very little effect on the pattern. The greatest effect is when the feed-line length was near a multiple of  $\lambda/2$ . Such lengths should be avoided.

Of course, you may use a choke balun at the feed point if you desire. This might reduce the coupling to the feed line even further but it doesn't appear to be worth the trouble. In fact, if you use an antenna tuner in the shack to operate away from resonance with a very high SWR on the transmission line, a balun at the feed point would take a beating.




**Fig 29—Elevation pattern for the asymmetrical half-square shown in Fig 28, compared with pattern for a 50-foot high dipole. This is over average ground, with a conductivity of 5 mS/m and a dielectric constant of 13. Note that the zenith-angle null has filled in and the peak gain is lower compared to conventional half-square shown in Fig 25 over the same kind of ground.**



**Fig 30—Variation of SWR with frequency for current-fed half-square antenna. The SWR bandwidth is quite narrow.**





**Fig 31—Typical matching networks used for voltage-feeding a half-square antenna.**

### Voltage-Feed at One End of Antenna: Matching Schemes

Several straightforward means are available for narrow-band matching. However, broadband matching over the full 80-meter band is much more challenging. Voltage feed with a parallel-resonant circuit and a modest local ground, as shown in **Fig 31**, is the traditional matching scheme for this antenna. Matching is achieved by resonating the circuit at the desired frequency and tapping down on the inductor in Fig 31A or using a capacitive divider (Fig 31B). It is also possible to use a  $\lambda/4$  transmission-line matching scheme, as shown in Fig 31C.

If the matching network shown in Fig 31B is used, typical values for the components would be:  $L = 15 \mu\text{H}$ ,  $C1 = 125 \text{ pF}$  and  $C2 = 855 \text{ pF}$ . At any single point the SWR can be made very close to 1:1 but the bandwidth for  $\text{SWR} < 2:1$  will be very narrow at  $< 100 \text{ kHz}$ . Altering the L-C ratio doesn't make very much difference. The half-square antenna has a well-earned reputation for being narrowband.

## Short Antennas

On the lower frequencies it becomes increasingly difficult to accommodate a full  $\lambda/4$  vertical height and full-sized  $\lambda/4$  radials. In fact, it is not absolutely necessary to make the antenna full size, whether it is a grounded antenna or a ground-plane antenna. The size of the antenna can be reduced by half or even more and still retain high efficiency and the desired radiation pattern. This requires careful design, however. If high efficiency is maintained, the operating bandwidth of the shortened antenna will be reduced because the shortened antenna will have a higher Q.

This translates into a more rapid increase of reactance away from resonance. The effect can be mitigated to some extent by using larger-diameter conductors. Even doing this however, bandwidth will be a problem, particularly on the 3.5 to 4-MHz band, which is very wide in proportion to the center frequency.

If we take a vertical with a diameter of 2 inches and a frequency of 3.525 MHz and progressively shorten it, the feed-point impedance and efficiency (using an inductor at the base to tune out the capacitive reactance) will vary as shown in **Table 6**. In this example perfect ground and conductor are assumed. Real ground will not make a great difference in the impedance but will introduce ground loss, which will reduce the efficiency further. Conductor loss will also reduce efficiency. In general, higher  $R_R$  will result in better efficiency.

The important point of Table 6 is the drastic reduction in  $R_R$  as the antenna gets shorter. This combined with the increasing loss resistance of the inductor ( $R_L$ ) used to tune out the increasing base reactance ( $X_C$ ), reduces the efficiency.

The base of the antenna is a convenient point at which to add a loading inductor, but it is usu-

**Table 6**  
**Effect of Shortening a Vertical Radiator Below  $\lambda/4$  Using Inductive Base Loading.**

**Frequency is 3.525 MHz and for the Inductor  $Q_L = 200$ . Ground and Conductor Losses Are Omitted**

Length (feet)	Length ( $\lambda$ )	$R_R$ ( $\Omega$ )	$X_C$ ( $\Omega$ )	$R_L$ ( $\Omega$ )	Efficiency (%)	Loss (dB)
14	0.050	0.96	-761	3.8	20	-7.0
20.9	0.075	2.2	-533	2.7	45	-3.5
27.9	0.100	4.2	-395	2.0	68	-1.7
34.9	0.125	6.8	-298	1.5	82	-0.86
41.9	0.150	10.4	-220	1.1	90	-0.44
48.9	0.175	15.1	-153	0.77	95	-0.22
55.8	0.200	21.4	-92	0.46	98	-0.09
62.8	0.225	29.7	-34	0.17	99	-0.02

ally not the lowest loss point at which an inductor, of a given  $Q$ , can be placed. There is an extensive discussion of the optimum location of the loading in a short vertical as a function of ground loss and inductor  $Q$  in Chapter 16 for mobile antennas. This information should be reviewed before using inductive loading.

On the accompanying program disk is a copy of the program *MOBILE.EXE*. This is an excellent tool for designing short, inductively loaded antennas. In most cases, where top loading is not used, the optimum point is near or a little above the middle of the vertical section. Moving the loading coil from the base to the middle of the antenna can make an important difference, increasing  $R_R$  and reducing the inductor loss. For example, in an antenna operating at 3.525 MHz, if we make  $L_1 = 34.9$  feet ( $0.125 \lambda$ ) the amount of loading inductor placed at the center is  $25.2 \mu\text{H}$ . This resonates the antenna. In this configuration  $R_R$  will increase from  $6.8 \Omega$  (base loading) to  $13.5 \Omega$  (center loading). This substantially increases the efficiency of the antenna, depending on the ground loss and conductor resistances.


Instead of a lumped inductance being inserted at some point in the antenna, it is also possible to use “continuous loading,” where the entire radiator is wound as a small diameter coil. The effect is to distribute the inductive loading all along the radiator. In this version of inductive loading the coil is the radiator. An example of a short vertical using this principle is given later in this chapter.

Inductive loading is not the only or even the best way to compensate for reduced antenna height. *Capacitive top loading* can also be used as indicated in **Fig 32**. **Table 7** gives information on a shortened 3.525-MHz vertical using top loading. The vertical portion ( $L_1$ ) is made from 2-inch tubing. The top loading is also 2-inch tubing extending across the top like a T. The length of the top loading T ( $\pm L_2$ ) is adjusted to resonate the antenna. Again the ground and the conductors are assumed to be perfect in Table 7.

For a given vertical height, resonating the antenna with top loading results in much higher  $R_R$  — 2 to 4 times. In addition, the loss associated with the loading element will be much smaller. The result is a much more efficient antenna for low heights. A comparison of  $R_R$  for both capacitive top loading and inductive base loading is given in **Fig 33**. For heights below  $0.15 \lambda$  the length of the top-loading elements becomes impractical but there are other, potentially more useful, top-loading schemes.

A multiwire system such as the one shown in **Fig 34** has more capacitance than the single-conductor arrangement, and thus does not need to be as long to resonate at a given frequency. This design does, however, require extra supports for the additional wires. Ideally, an arrangement of this sort should be in the form of a cross, but parallel wires separated by several feet give a considerable increase in capacitance over a single wire.


The top loading can be supplied by a variety of metallic structures large enough to have the necessary self-capacitance. For example, as shown in **Fig 35**, a multi-spoked structure with the ends con-




**Fig 32**—Horizontal wire used to top load a short vertical.

**Table 7**  
**Effect of Shortening a Vertical using Top Loading**

$L_1$ (feet)	$L_2$ (feet)	Length ( $\lambda$ )	$R_R$ ( $\Omega$ )
14.0	48.8	0.050	4.0
20.9	38.6	0.075	8.5
27.9	30.1	0.100	14.0
34.9	22.8	0.125	19.9
41.9	17.3	0.150	25.5
48.9	11.9	0.175	30.4
55.8	7.0	0.200	33.9
62.8	2.4	0.225	35.7




**Fig 33—Comparison of top (capacitive) and base (inductive) loading for short verticals. Sufficient loading is used to resonate the antenna.**




**Fig 34—Multiple top wires can increase the effective capacitance substantially. This allows the use of shorter top wires to achieve resonance.**

nected together can be used. One simple way to make a capacitive hat is to take four to six 8-foot fiberglass CB mobile whips, arrange them like spokes in a wagon wheel and connect the ends with a peripheral wire. This arrangement will produce a 16-foot diameter hat which is economical and very durable, even when loaded with ice. Practically any sufficiently large metallic structure can be used for this purpose, but simple geometric forms such as the sphere, cylinder and disc are preferred because of the relative ease with which their capacitance can be calculated.

The capacitance of three geometric forms can be estimated from the curves of **Fig 36** as a function of their size. For the cylinder, the length is specified equal to the diameter. The sphere, disc and cylinder can be constructed from sheet metal, if such construction is feasible, but the capacitance will be practically the same in each if a “skeleton” type of construction with screening or networks of wire or tubing are used.



**Fig 35—A close-up view of the capacitance hat for a 7-MHz vertical antenna. The 1/2-in. diameter radial arms terminate in a loop of copper wire.**



**Fig 36—Capacitance of sphere, disc and cylinder as a function of their diameters. The cylinder length is assumed equal to its diameter.**

## FINDING CAPACITANCE HAT SIZE

The required size of a capacitance hat may be determined from the following procedure. The information in this section is based on a September 1978 *QST* article by Walter Schulz, K3OQF. The physical length of a shortened antenna can be found from:

$$h_{\text{inches}} = \frac{11808}{F_{\text{MHz}}} \quad (\text{Eq 3})$$

where  $h$  = length in inches

Thus, using an example of 7 MHz and a shortened length of  $0.167 \lambda$ ,  $h = 11808/7 \times 0.167 = 282$  inches, equivalent to 23.48 feet.

Consider the vertical radiator as an open-ended transmission line, so the impedance and top loading may be determined. The characteristic impedance of a vertical antenna can be found from

$$Z_0 = 60 \left( \ln \left( \frac{4h}{d} \right) - 1 \right) \quad (\text{Eq 4})$$

where

$\ln$  = natural logarithm

$h$  = length (height) of vertical radiator in inches (as above)

$d$  = diameter of radiator in inches

The vertical radiator for this example has a diameter of 1 inch. Thus, for this example,

$$Z_0 = 60 \left( \ln \left( \frac{4 \times 281}{1} \right) - 1 \right) = 361 \Omega$$

The capacitive reactance required for the amount of top loading can be found from

$$X = \frac{Z_0}{\tan \theta} \quad (\text{Eq 5})$$

where

$X$  = capacitive reactance, ohms

$Z_0$  = characteristic impedance of antenna (from Eq 4)

$\theta$  = amount of electrical loading, degrees

This value for a  $30^\circ$  hat is  $361/\tan 30^\circ = 625 \Omega$ . This capacitive reactance may be converted to capacitance with the following equation,

$$C = \frac{10^6}{2\pi f X_C} \quad (\text{Eq 6})$$

where

$C$  = capacitance in pF

$f$  = frequency, MHz

$X_C$  = capacitive reactance, ohms (from above)

For this example, the required  $C = 10^6/(2\pi \times 7 \times 625) = 36.4$  pF, which may be rounded to 36 pF. A disc capacitor is used in this example. The appropriate diameter for 36 pF of hat capacitance can be found from [Fig 36](#). The disc diameter that yields 36 pF of capacitance is 40 inches.

The skeleton disc shown in [Fig 35](#) is fashioned into a wagonwheel configuration. Six 20-inch lengths of  $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch OD aluminum tubing are used as spokes. Each is connected to the hub at equidistant intervals. The outer ends of the spokes terminate in a loop made of #14 copper wire. Note that the loop increases the hat capacitance slightly, making a better approximation of a solid disc. The addition of this hat at the top of a 23.4-foot radiator makes it quarter-wave resonant at 7 MHz.

After construction, some slight adjustment in the radiator length or the hat size may be required if resonance at a specific frequency is desired. From [Fig 33](#), the radiation resistance of a  $0.167\text{-}\lambda$  high

radiator is seen to be about  $13\ \Omega$  without top loading. With top loading  $R_r \approx 25\ \Omega$  or almost double.

## LINEAR LOADING

An alternative to inductive loading is *linear loading*. This little-understood method of shortening radiators can be applied to almost any antenna configuration—including parasitic arrays. Although commercial antenna manufacturers make use of linear loading in their HF antennas, relatively few hams have used it in their own designs. Linear loading can be used to advantage in many antennas because it introduces very little loss, does not degrade directivity patterns, and has low enough  $Q$  to allow reasonably good bandwidth. Some examples of linear-loaded antennas are shown in **Fig 37**.


Since the dimensions and spacing of linear-loading devices vary greatly from one antenna installation to another, the best way to employ this technique is to try a length of conductor 10% to 20% longer than the difference between the shortened antenna and the full-size dimension for the linear-loading device. Then use the “cut-and-try” method, varying both the spacing and length of the loading device to optimize the match. A hairpin at the feed point can be useful in achieving a 1:1 SWR at resonance.

### Linear-Loaded Short Wire Antennas


More detail on linear loading is provided in this section, which was originally presented in *The ARRL Antenna Compendium, Vol 5* by **John Stanford, NNØF**. Linear loading can significantly reduce the required length for resonant antennas. For example, it is easy to make a resonant antenna that is as much as 30 to 40% shorter than an ordinary dipole for a given band. The shorter overall lengths come from bending back some of the wire. The increased self-coupling lowers the resonant frequency. These ideas are applicable to short antennas for restricted space or portable use.

### Experiments

The results of the measurements are shown in **Fig 38** and are also consistent with values given by Rashed and Tai from an earlier paper. This shows several simple wire antenna configurations, with resonant frequencies and impedance (radiation resistance). The reference dipole has a resonant frequency  $f_0$  and resistance  $R = 72\ \Omega$ . The  $f/f_0$  values give the effective reduced frequency obtained with the linear loading in each case. For example, the two-wire linear-loaded dipole has its resonant frequency lowered to about 0.67 to 0.70 that of the



**Fig 37—Some examples of linear loading.** The small circles indicate the feed points of the antennas.



**Fig 38—Wire dipole antennas.** The ratio  $f/f_0$  is the measured resonant frequency divided by frequency  $f_0$  of a standard dipole of same length.  $R$  is radiation resistance in ohms. At A, standard single-wire dipole. At B, two-wire linear-loaded dipole, similar to folded dipole except that side opposite feed line is open. At C, three-wire linear-loaded dipole.

simple reference dipole of the same length.

The three-wire linear-loaded dipole has its frequency reduced to 0.55 to 0.60 of the simple dipole of the same length. As you will see later, these values will vary with conductor diameter and spacing.

The two-wire linear-loaded dipole (Fig 38B) looks almost like a folded dipole but, unlike a folded dipole, it is open in the middle of the side opposite where the feed line is attached. Measurements show that this antenna structure has a resonant frequency lowered to about two-thirds that of the reference dipole, and  $R$  equal to about  $35\ \Omega$ . A three-wire linear-loaded dipole (Fig 38C) has an even lower resonant frequency and  $R$  about 25 to  $30\ \Omega$ .

Linear-loaded monopoles (one half of the dipoles in Fig 38) working against a radial ground plane have similar resonant frequencies, but with only half the radiation resistance shown for the dipoles.


### A Ladder-Line Linear-Loaded Dipole

Based on these results, NNØF next constructed a linear-loaded dipole as in Fig 38B, using 24 feet of 1-inch ladder line (the black,  $450\text{-}\Omega$  plastic kind widely available) for the dipole length. He hung the system from a tree using nylon fishing line, about 4 feet from the tree at the top, and about 8 feet from the ground on the bottom end. It was slanted at about a  $60^\circ$  angle to the ground. This antenna resonated at 12.8 MHz and had a measured resistance of about  $35\ \Omega$ . After the resonance measurements, he fed it with 1-inch ladder open-wire line (a total of about 100 feet to the shack).

For brevity, this is called a vertical LLSD (linear-loaded short dipole). A tuner resonated the system nicely on 20 and 30 meters. On these bands the performance of the vertical LLSD seemed comparable to his 120-foot long, horizontal center-fed Zepp, 30 feet above ground. In some directions where the horizontal, all-band Zepp has nulls, such as toward Siberia, the vertical LLSD was definitely superior. This system also resonates on 17 and 40 meters. However, from listening to various signals, NNØF had the impression that this length LLSD is not as good on 17 and 40 meters as the horizontal 120-foot antenna.

### Using Capacitive “End Hats”

He also experimented with an even shorter resonant length by trying an LLSD with capacitive “end-hats.” The hats, as expected, increased the radiation resistance and lowered the resonant frequency. Six-foot long, single-wire hats were used on each end of the previous 24-foot LLSD, as shown in Fig 39. The antenna was supported in the same way as the previous vertical dipole, but the bottom-end hat wire was



**Fig 39—Two-wire linear-loaded dipole with capacitive end hats. Main dipole length was constructed from 24 feet of “windowed” ladder line. The end-hat elements were stiff wires 6 feet long. The antenna was strung at about a  $60^\circ$  angle from a tree limb using monofilament fishing line. Measured resonant frequency and radiation resistance were 10.6 MHz and  $50\ \Omega$ .**



only inches from the grass. This system resonated at 10.6 MHz with a measured resistance of 50  $\Omega$ .

If the dipole section were lengthened slightly, by a foot or so, to about 25 feet, it should hit the 10.1-MHz band and be a good match for 50- $\Omega$  coax. It would be suitable for a restricted space, shortened 30-meter antenna. Note that this antenna is only about half the length of a conventional 30-meter dipole, needs no tuner, and has no losses due to traps. It does have the loss of the extra wire, but this is essentially negligible.

Any of the linear-loaded dipole antennas can be mounted either horizontally or vertically. The vertical version can be used for longer skip contacts—beyond 600 miles or so—unless you have rather tall supports for horizontal antennas to give a low elevation angle. Using different diameter conductors in linear-loaded antenna configurations yields different results, depending on whether the larger or small diameter conductor is fed. NNØF experimented with a vertical ground-plane antenna using a 10-foot piece of electrical conduit pipe ( $\frac{5}{8}$  inch OD) and #12 copper house wire.

**Fig 40** shows the configuration. The radial ground system was buried a couple of inches under the soil and is not shown. Note that this is not a folded monopole, which would have either A or B grounded.

The two conductors were separated by 2 inches, using plastic spreaders held onto the pipe by stainless-steel hose clamps obtained from the local hardware store. Hose clamps intertwined at right angles were also used to clamp the pipe on electric fence stand-off insulators on a short 2×4 post set vertically in the ground.

The two different diameter conductors make the antenna characteristics change, depending on how they are configured. With the antenna bridge connected to the larger diameter conductor (point A in Fig 40), and point B unconnected, the system resonated at 16.8 MHz and had  $R = 35 \Omega$ . With the bridge at B (the smaller conductor), and point A left unconnected, the resonance lowered to 12.4 MHz and  $R$  was found to be about 24  $\Omega$ .

The resonant frequency of the system in Fig 40 can be adjusted by changing the overall height, or for increasing the frequency, by reducing the length of the wire. Note that a 3.8-MHz resonant ground plane can be made with height only about half that of the usual 67 feet required, if the smaller conductor is fed (point B in Fig 40). In this case, the pipe would be left unconnected electrically. The lengths given above can be scaled to determine a first-try attempt for your favorite band. Resonant lengths will, however, depend on the conductor diameters and spacing.


The same ideas hold for a dipole, except that the lengths should be doubled from those of the ground plane in Fig 40. The resistance will be twice that of the ground plane. Say, how about a shortened 40-meter horizontal beam to enhance your signal?!

## COMBINED LOADING

As an antenna is shortened further the size of the top-loading device will become larger and at some point will be impractical. In this situation inductive loading, usually placed directly between the capacitive “hat” and the top of the antenna, can be added to resonate the antenna. An alternative would be to use linear loading in place of inductive loading. The previous section contained an example of end loading combined with linear loading.

## SHORTENING THE RADIALS

Very often the space required by full-length radials is simply not available. Like the vertical portion of the antenna, the radials can also be shortened and loaded in much the same way. An example of end loaded radials is given in



**Fig 40—Vertical ground-plane antenna with a 10-foot pipe and #12 wire as the linear-loaded element. Resonant frequency and radiation resistance depend on which side (A or B) is fed. The other side (B or A) is not grounded. See text for details.**

**Fig 41A.** Radials half the usual length can be used with little reduction in efficiency but, as in the case of top loading, the antenna Q will be higher and the bandwidth reduced. As shown in Fig 41B, inductive loading can also be used. As long as they are not made too short (down to  $0.1 \lambda$ ) loaded radials can be efficient—with careful design.

### GENERAL RULES

The steps in designing an efficient short vertical antenna system are:

- Make the vertical section as long as possible
- Make the diameter of the vertical section as large as possible. Tubing or a cage of smaller wires will work well.
- Provide as much top loading as possible
- If the top loading is insufficient, resonate the antenna with a high-Q inductor placed between the hat and the top of the antenna
- For buried-ground systems, use as many radials (>  $0.2 \lambda$ ) as possible. 40 or more is best
- If an elevated ground plane is used, use 4 to 8 radials, 5 or more feet above ground
- If shortened radials must be used then capacitive loading is preferable to inductive loading


### EXAMPLES OF SHORT VERTICALS

#### A 6-Foot-High 7-MHz Vertical Antenna

**Figs 42 through 45** give details for building short, effective vertical quarter-wavelength radiators. This information was originally presented by Jerry Sevick, W2FMI.

A short vertical antenna, properly designed and installed, approaches the efficiency of a full-size resonant quarter-wave antenna. Even a 6-foot vertical on 7 MHz can produce an exceptional signal. Theory tells us that this should be possible, but the practical achievement of such a result requires an understanding of the problems of ground losses, loading, and impedance matching.


The key to success with shortened vertical antennas lies in the efficiency of the ground system with which the antenna is used. A system of at least 60 radial wires is recommended for best results, although the builder may want to reduce the number at the expense of some performance. The radials can be tensioned and pinned at the far ends to permit on-the-ground installation, which will enable the amateur to mow the lawn without the wires becoming entangled in the mower



**Fig 41—Radials may be shortened by using either capacitive (A) or inductive (B) loading. In extreme cases both may be used but the operating bandwidth will be limited.**




**Fig 42—Jerry Sevick, W2FMI, adjusts the 6-foot high, 40-meter vertical.**



**Fig 43—Construction details for the top hat.** For a diameter of 7 feet,  $\frac{1}{2}$ -in. aluminum tubing is used. The hose clamp is made of stainless steel and is available at Sears. The rest of the hardware is aluminum.



**Fig 45—Base of the vertical antenna showing the 60 radial wires.** The aluminum disc is 15 inches in diameter and  $\frac{1}{4}$  inches thick. Sixty tapped holes for  $\frac{1}{4}$ -20 aluminum hex-head bolts form the outer ring and 20 form the inner ring. The inner bolts were used for performance comparisons with more than 60 radials. The insulator is polystyrene material (phenolic or Plexiglas suitable) with a 1-inch diameter. Also shown is the impedance bridge used for measuring input resistance.



**Fig 44—Standing-wave ratio of the 6-foot vertical using a 7-foot top hat and 14 turns of loading 6 inches below the top hat.**

14 turns of B&W 3029 Miniductor stock ( $2\frac{1}{2}$ -inch diameter, 6 TPI, #12 wire) is installed 6 inches below the top hat (see Fig 42). This antenna exhibits a feed-point impedance of  $3.5 \Omega$  at 7.21 MHz. For operation above or below this frequency, the number of coil turns must be decreased or increased, respectively. Matching is accomplished by increasing the feed-point impedance to  $14 \Omega$  through addition of a 4:1 transformer, then matching  $14 \Omega$  to  $50 \Omega$  (feeder impedance) by means of a pi network. The 2:1 SWR bandwidth for this antenna is approximately 100 kHz.

More than 200 contacts with the 6-foot antenna have indicated the efficiency and capability of a short vertical. Invariably at distances greater than 500 or 600 miles, the short vertical yields excellent signals. Similar antennas can be scaled and constructed for bands other than 7 MHz. The 7-foot-diameter-top hat was tried on a 3.5-MHz vertical, with an antenna height of 22 feet. The loading coil had 24 turns and was placed 2 feet below the top hat. On-the-air results duplicated those on 40 meters. The bandwidth was 65 kHz.

Short verticals such as these have the ability to radiate and receive almost as well as a full-size

blades. Alternatively, the wires can be buried in the ground, where they will not be visible. There is nothing critical about the wire size for the radials. Radials made of 28, 22, or even 16-gauge wire, will provide the same results. The radials should be at least  $0.2 \lambda$  long (27 feet or greater on 7 MHz).

A top hat is formed as illustrated in Fig 43. The diameter is 7 feet, and a continuous length of wire is connected to the spokes around the outer circumference of the wheel. A loading coil consisting of

quarter-wave. Trade-offs are in lowered input impedances and bandwidths. With a good radial system and a proper design, these trade-offs can be made entirely acceptable.

### Short Continuously Loaded Verticals

While there is the option of using lumped inductance to achieve resonance in a short antenna, the antenna can also be helically wound to provide the required inductance. This is shown in **Fig 46**. Shortened quarter-wavelength vertical antennas can be made by forming a helix on a long cylindrical insulator. The diameter of the helix must be small in terms of  $\lambda$  to prevent the antenna from radiating in the axial mode.


Acceptable form diameters for HF-band operation are from 1 inch to 10 inches when the practical aspects of antenna construction are considered. Insulating poles of fiberglass, PVC tubing, treated bamboo or wood, or phenolic are suitable for use in building helically wound radiators. If wood or bamboo is used the builder should treat the material with at least two coats of exterior spar varnish prior to winding the antenna element. The completed structure should be given two more coats of varnish, regardless of the material used for the coil form. Application of the varnish will help weatherproof the antenna and prevent the coil turns from changing position.

No strict rule has been established concerning how short a helically wound vertical can be before a significant drop in performance is experienced. Generally, one should use the greatest amount of length consistent with available space. A guideline might be to maintain an element length of 0.05 wavelength or more for antennas which are electrically a quarter wavelength long. Thus, use 13 feet or more of stock for an 80-meter antenna, 7 feet for 40 meters, and so on.

A quarter-wavelength helically wound vertical can be used in the same manner as a full-size vertical. That is, it can be worked against an above-ground wire radial system (four or more radials), or it can be ground-mounted with radials buried or lying on the ground. Some operators have reported good results when using antennas of this kind with four helically wound radials cut for resonance at the operating frequency. The latter technique should capture the attention of those persons who must use indoor antennas.

#### Winding Information

There is no hard-and-fast formula for determining the amount of wire needed to establish resonance in a helical antenna. The relationship between the length of wire needed for resonance and a full quarter wave at the desired frequency depends on several factors. Some of these are wire size, diameter of the turns, and the dielectric properties of the form material, to name a few. Experience has indicated that a section of wire approximately one-half wavelength long, wound on an insulating form with a linear pitch (equal spacing between turns) will come close to yielding a resonant quarter wavelength. Therefore, an antenna for use on 160 meters would require approximately 260 feet of wire, spirally wound on the support.



**Fig 46—Helically wound ground-plane vertical. Performance from this type of antenna is comparable to that of many full-size  $\lambda/4$  vertical antennas. The major design trade-off is usable bandwidth. All shortened antennas of this variety are narrow-band devices. At 7 MHz, in the example illustrated here, the bandwidth between the 2:1 SWR points will be on the order of 50 kHz, half that amount on 80 meters, and twice that amount on 20 meters. Therefore, the antenna should be adjusted for operation in the center of the frequency band of interest.**

No specific rule exists concerning the size or type of wire one should use in making a helix. Larger wire sizes are, of course, preferable in the interest of minimizing  $I^2R$  losses in the system. For power levels up to 1000 W it is wise to use a wire size of #16 or larger. Aluminum clothesline wire is suitable for use in systems where the spacing between turns is greater than the wire diameter. Antennas requiring close-spaced turns can be made from enameled magnet wire or #14 vinyl jacketed, single-conductor house wiring stock. Every effort should be made to keep the turn spacing as large as is practical to maximize efficiency.

A short rod or metal disc should be made for the top or high-impedance end of the vertical. This is a necessary part of the installation to assure reduction in antenna Q. This broadens the bandwidth of the system and helps prevent extremely high amounts of RF voltage from being developed at the top of the radiator. (Some helical antennas act like Tesla coils when used with high-power transmitters, and can actually catch fire at the high-impedance end when a stub or disc is not used.) Since the Q-lowering device exhibits some additional capacitance in the system, it must be in place before the antenna is tuned.

### Tuning and Matching

Once the element is wound it should be mounted where it will be used, with the ground system installed. The feed end of the radiator can be connected temporarily to the ground system. Use a dip meter to check the antenna for resonance by coupling the dipper to the last few turns near the ground end of the radiator. Add or remove turns until the vertical is resonant at the desired operating frequency.

It is impossible to predict the absolute value of feed impedance for a helically wound vertical. The value will depend on the length and diameter of the element, the ground system used with the antenna, and the size of the disc or stub atop the radiator. Generally speaking, the radiation resistance will be very low—approximately 3 to 10  $\Omega$ . An L network of the kind shown in Fig 46 can be used to increase the impedance to 50  $\Omega$ . The  $Q_L$  (loaded Q) of the network inductors is low to provide reasonable bandwidth, consistent with the bandwidth of the antenna. Network values for other operating bands and frequencies can be determined by using the reactance values listed below. The design center for the network is based on a radiation resistance of 5  $\Omega$ . If the exact feed impedance is known, the following equations can be used to determine precise component values for the matching network. (See Chapter 25 for additional information on L-network matching.)

$$X_A = QR_L \quad (\text{Eq 7})$$

$$X_{C2} = 50 \sqrt{\frac{R_L}{50 - R_L}} \quad (\text{Eq 8})$$

$$X_{L1} = X_{C1} + \frac{R_L 50}{X_{C2}} \quad (\text{Eq 9})$$

where

$X_{C1}$  = capacitive reactance of C1

$X_{C2}$  = capacitive reactance of C2

$X_{L1}$  = inductive reactance of L1

Q = loaded Q of network

$R_L$  = radiation resistance of antenna

Example: Find the network constants for a helical antenna with a feed impedance of 5  $\Omega$  at 7 MHz,  $Q = 3$ :

$$X_{C1} = 3 \times 5 = 15$$

$$X_{C2} = \sqrt{\frac{5}{50 - 5}} = 16.666$$

$$X_{L1} = 15 + \frac{250}{16.666} = 30$$

Therefore,  $C1 = 1500 \text{ pF}$ ,  $C2 = 1350 \text{ pF}$ , and  $L1 = 0.7 \text{ }\mu\text{H}$ . The capacitors can be made from parallel or series combinations of transmitting micas.  $L1$  can be a few turns of large Miniductor stock. At RF power levels of 100 W or less, large compression trimmers can be used at  $C1$  and  $C2$  because the maximum RMS voltage at 100  $\Omega$  (across 50  $\Omega$ ) will be 50 V. At, say, 800 W there will be approximately 220 V RMS developed across 50  $\Omega$ . This suggests the use of small transmitting variables at  $C1$  and  $C2$ , possibly connected in parallel with fixed values of capacitance to constitute the required amount of capacitance for the network.

By making some part of the network variable, it will be possible to adjust the circuit for an SWR of 1:1 without knowing precisely what the antenna feed impedance is. Actually,  $C1$  is not required as part of the matching network. It is included here to bring the necessary value for  $L1$  into a practical range.

Fig 46 illustrates the practical form a typical helically wound ground-plane vertical might take. Performance from this type antenna is comparable to that of many full-size quarter-wavelength vertical antennas. The major design trade-off is in usable bandwidth. All shortened antennas of this variety are narrow-band devices. At 7 MHz, in the example illustrated here, the bandwidth between the 2:1 SWR points will be on the order of 50 kHz, half that amount on 80 meters, and twice that amount on 20 meters. Therefore, the antenna should be adjusted for operation in the center of the frequency spread of interest.

## SHORTENED DIPOLES

As shown in preceding sections, there are several ways to load antennas so they may be reduced in size without severe reduction in effectiveness. Loading is always a compromise; the best method is determined by the amount of space available and the band(s) to be worked.




Fig 47—When space is limited, the ends may be bent downward as shown at A, or back on the radiator as shown at B. The bent dipole ends may come straight down or be led off at an angle away from the center of the antenna. An inverted V at C can be erected with the ends bent parallel to the ground when the support structure is not high enough.





Fig 48—At A is a dipole antenna lengthened electrically with off-center loading coils. For a fixed dimension A, greater efficiency will be realized with greater distance B, but as B is increased, L must be larger in value to maintain resonance. If the two coils are placed at the ends of the antenna, in theory they must be infinite in size to maintain resonance. At B, capacitive loading of the ends, either through proximity of the antenna to other objects or through the addition of capacitance hats, will reduce the required value of the coils. At C, a fan dipole provides some electrical lengthening as well as broadbanding.




The simplest way to shorten a dipole is shown in **Fig 47**. If you do not have sufficient length between the supports, simply hang as much of the center of the antenna as possible between the supports and let the ends hang down. The ends can be straight down or may be at an angle as indicated but in either case should be secured so that they do not move in the wind. As long as the center portion between the supports is at least  $\lambda/4$ , the radiation pattern will be very nearly the same as a full-length dipole.

The resonant length of the wire will be somewhat shorter than a full-length dipole and can best be determined by experimentally adjusting the length of ends, which may be conveniently near ground. Keep in mind that there can be very high potentials at the ends of the wires and for safety the ends should be kept out of reach. Letting the ends hang down as shown is a form of capacitive end loading. While it is efficient, it will also reduce the matching bandwidth—as does any form of loading.



**Fig 50—The W0SVM “Shorty Forty” center-loaded antenna. Dimensions given are for 7.0 MHz. The loading coil is 5 inches long and 2½ inches diameter. It has a total of 30 turns of #12 wire wound at 6 turns per inch (Miniductor 3029 stock).**




**Fig 49—Chart for determining approximate inductance values for off-center-loaded dipoles. See **Fig 48A**. At the intersection of the appropriate curve from the body of the chart for dimension A and proper value for the coil position from the horizontal scale at the bottom of the chart, read the required inductive reactance for resonance from the scale at the left. Dimension A is expressed as percent length of the shortened antenna with respect to the length of a half-wave dipole of the same conductor material. Dimension B is expressed as the percentage of coil distance from the feed point to the end of the antenna. For example, a shortened antenna, which is 50% or half the size of a half-wave dipole (one-quarter wavelength overall) with loading coils positioned midway between the feed point and each end (50% out), would require coils having an inductive reactance of approximately 950  $\Omega$  at the operating frequency for antenna resonance.**

The most serious drawback associated with inductive loading is high loss in the coils themselves. It is important that you use inductors made from reasonably large wire or tubing to minimize this problem. Close winding of turns should also be avoided if possible. A good compromise is to use some off-center inductive loading in combination with capacitive end loading, keeping the inductor losses small and the efficiency as high as possible.

Some examples of off-center coil loading and capacitive-end loading are shown in **Fig 48**. This technique was described by Jerry Hall, K1TD, in September 1974 *QST*. For the antennas shown, the longer the overall length (dimension A, Fig 48A) and the farther the loading coils are from the center of the antenna (dimension B), the greater the efficiency of the antenna. As dimension B is increased, however, the inductance required to resonate the antenna at the desired frequency increases. Approximate inductance values for single-band resonance (for the antenna in Fig 48A only) may be determined with the aid of **Fig 49** or from Eq 10 below. The final values will depend on the proximity of surrounding objects in individual installations and must be determined experimentally. The use of high-Q low-loss coils is important for maximum efficiency.

$$X_L = \frac{10^6}{34\pi f} \left[ \frac{\left( \ln \frac{24 \left( \frac{234}{f} - B \right)}{D} - 1 \right) \left( \left( 1 - \frac{fB}{234} \right)^2 - 1 \right)}{\frac{234}{f} - B} - \frac{\left( \ln \frac{24 \left( \frac{A}{2} - B \right)}{D} - 1 \right) \left( \left( \frac{\frac{fA}{2} - fB}{234} \right)^2 - 1 \right)}{\frac{A}{2} - B} \right] \quad (\text{Eq 10})$$


A dip meter or SWR indicator is recommended for use during adjustment of the system. Note that the minimum inductance required is for a center-loaded dipole. If the inductive reactance is read from **Fig 49** for a dimension B of zero, one coil having approximately twice this reactance can be used near the center of the dipole. **Fig 50** illustrates this idea. This antenna was conceived by Jack Sobel, W0SVM, who dubbed the 7-MHz version the “Shorty Forty.”




**Fig 51—The 1.8-MHz inverted L. Overall wire length is 165 to 175 feet. The variable capacitor has a maximum capacitance of 500 to 800 pF. Adjust antenna length and variable capacitor for lowest SWR.**

## Inverted-L Antennas


The antenna shown in **Fig 51** is called an *inverted-L* antenna. It is simple and easy to construct and is a good antenna for the beginner or the experienced 1.8-MHz DXer. Because the overall electrical length is made somewhat greater than  $\lambda/4$ , the feed-point resistance is on the order of 50  $\Omega$ , with an inductive reactance. That reactance is canceled by a series capacitor as indicated in the figure. For a vertical section length of 60 feet and a horizontal section length of 125 feet, the input impedance is  $\approx 40 + j 450 \Omega$ . Longer vertical or horizontal sections would increase the input impedance. The azimuthal radiation pattern is slightly asymmetrical with  $\approx 1$  to 2 dB increase



**Fig 52—A single elevated radial can be used for the inverted L. This changes the directivity slightly.**



**Fig 53—Elevation pattern for the inverted L with a single radial.**



**Fig 54—Azimuthal pattern for the inverted L with a single radial at an elevation angle of 25°.**

in the direction opposite to the horizontal wire. This antenna requires a good buried ground system or elevated radials.

This antenna is a form of top-loaded vertical, where the top loading is asymmetrical. This results in both vertical and horizontal polarization because the currents in the top wire do not cancel like they would in a symmetrical-T vertical. This

is not necessarily a bad thing because it eliminates the zenith null present in a true vertical. This allows for good communication at short ranges as well as for DX.

A yardarm attached to a tower or a tree limb can be used to support the vertical section. As with any vertical, for best results the vertical section should be as long as possible. A good ground system is necessary for good results—the better the ground, the better the results.


If the ground system suggested for Fig 51 is not practical, it is possible to use a single elevated radial as shown in Fig 52. For the dimensions shown in the figure  $Z_i = 50 + j 465 \Omega$ . The vertical and azimuthal radiation patterns are shown in Figs 53 and 54. Note that the 1 to 2 dB asymmetry is now in the direction of the horizontal wires, just the opposite of that for a symmetrical ground system.

### A Different Approach


Fig 55 shows the method used by Doug DeMaw, W1FB, to gamma match his self-supporting 50-foot tower operating as an inverted L. A wire cage simulates a gamma rod of the proper diameter. The tuning capacitor is fashioned from telescoping sections of 1½ and 1¼-inch aluminum tubing with polyethylene tubing serving as the dielectric. This capacitor is more than adequate for power levels of 100 W. The horizontal wire connected to the top of the tower provides the additional top loading.

## Sloper Antennas

Sloping dipoles and  $\lambda/2$  dipoles can be very useful antennas on the low bands. These antennas can have one end attached to a tower, tree or other structure and the other end near ground level. The



**Fig 55—Details and dimensions for gamma-match feeding a 50-foot tower as a 1.8-MHz vertical antenna. The rotator cable and coaxial feed line for the 14-MHz beam is taped to the tower legs and run into the shack from ground level. No decoupling networks are necessary.**



**Fig 56—The  $\lambda/4$  “half sloper” antenna.**

following section gives a number of examples of these types of antennas.


## THE QUARTER-WAVELENGTH “HALF SLOPER”

Perhaps one of the easiest antennas to install is the  $\lambda/4$  sloper shown in **Fig 56**. A sloping  $\lambda/2$  dipole is known among radio amateurs as a “full sloper” or just “sloper.” If only one half of it is used it becomes a “half sloper.” The performance of the two types of sloping antennas is similar: They exhibit some directivity in the direction of the slope and radiate energy at low angles relative to the horizon. The wave polarization is vertical. The amount of directivity will range from 3 to 6 dB, depending upon the individual installation, and will be observed in the slope direction. A typical radiation pattern is given in **Fig 57**.

The advantage of the half sloper over the full sloper is that the current portion of the antenna is higher. Also, only half as much wire is required to build the antenna for a given amateur band. The disadvantage of the half sloper is that it is sometimes impossible to obtain a low SWR when using coaxial-cable feed, especially without a good isolating choke balun. (See the section above on isolating ground-plane antennas.) Other factors that affect the feed impedance are tower height, height of the attachment point, enclosed angle between the sloper and the tower, and what is mounted atop the tower (HF or VHF beams). Also the quality of the ground under the tower (ground conductivity, radials, etc) has a marked effect on the antenna performance. The final SWR can vary (after optimization) from 1:1 to as high as 6:1. Generally speaking, the closer the low end of the slope wire is to ground, the more difficult it will be to obtain a good match.

## Basic Recommendations for Half Sloper

The half sloper can be an excellent DX type of antenna. It is usually installed on a metal supporting structure such as a mast or tower. The support needs to be grounded at the lower end, preferably to a buried or on-ground radial system. If a nonconductive support is used, the outside of the coax braid becomes the return circuit and should be grounded at the base of the support. As a starting point one can attach the sloper so the feed point is approximately  $\lambda/4$  above ground. If the tower is not high enough to permit this, the antenna



**Fig 57—Radiation pattern for a typical half sloper. At A, elevation pattern. At B, azimuth pattern.**

ing an antenna tuner, provided the transmitter can work into the load. Typical optimum values of SWR for 3.5 or 7-MHz half slopers are between 1.3:1 and 2:1. A 100-kHz bandwidth is normal on 3.5 MHz, with 200 kHz being typical at 7 MHz.

If the lowest SWR possible is greater than 2:1, the attachment point can be raised or lowered to improve the match. Readjustment of the wire length and enclosed angle may be necessary when the feed-point height is changed. If the tower is guyed, the guy wires will need to be insulated from the tower and broken up with additional insulators to prevent resonance.

### 1.8-MHz ANTENNA SYSTEMS USING TOWERS

An existing metal tower used to support HF or VHF beam antennas can also be used as an integral part of a 1.8-MHz radiating system. The half sloper discussed earlier will also perform well on 1.8 MHz. Prominent 1.8-MHz operators who have had success with the half sloper antenna suggest a minimum tower height of 50 feet. Dana Atchley, W1CF, used the configuration sketched in Fig 58. He reported that the uninsulated guy wires act as an effective counterpoise for the sloping wire. At Fig 59 is the feed system used by Doug DeMaw, W1FB, on a 50-foot self-supporting tower. The ground for the W1FB system is provided by buried radials connected to the tower base.

As described previously, a tower can also be used as a true vertical antenna, provided a good ground system is used. The shunt-fed tower is at its best on 1.8 MHz, where a full  $\lambda/4$  vertical antenna is rarely possible. Almost any tower height can be used. An HF beam at the top provides some top loading.


should be fastened as high on the supporting structure as possible. Start with an enclosed angle of approximately  $45^\circ$ , as indicated in Fig 56. The wire may be cut to the length determined from

$$\ell = \frac{260}{f_{\text{MHz}}} \quad (\text{Eq 11})$$


This will allow sufficient extra length for pruning the wire for the lowest SWR. A metal tower or mast becomes an operating part of the half sloper system. In effect, it and the slope wire function somewhat like an inverted-V dipole antenna. In other words, the tower operates as the missing half of the dipole. Hence its height and the top loading (beams) play a significant role.

The 50- $\Omega$  transmission line can be taped to the tower leg at frequent intervals to make it secure. The best method is to bring it to earth level, then route it to the operating position along the surface of the ground if it can't be buried. This will ensure adequate RF decoupling, which will help prevent RF energy from affecting the equipment in the station. Rotator cable and other feed lines on the tower or mast should be treated in a similar manner.

Adjustment of the half sloper is done with an SWR indicator in the 50- $\Omega$  transmission line. A compromise can be found between the enclosed angle and wire length, providing the lowest SWR attainable in the center of the chosen part of an amateur band. If the SWR “bottoms out” at 2:1 or lower, the system will work fine without using



**Fig 58—The W1CF half sloper for 160 meters is arranged in this manner. Three monoband antennas atop the tower provide capacitive loading.**



**Fig 59—Feed system used by W1FB for 1.8-MHz half sloper on a 50-foot self-supporting tower.**

## 7-MHz “SLOPER SYSTEM”

One of the more popular antennas for 3.5 and 7 MHz is the half-wave long sloping dipole. David Pietraszewski, K1WA, made an extensive study of sloping dipoles at different heights with reflectors at the 3-GHz frequency range. From his experiments, he developed the novel 7-MHz antenna system described here. With several sloping dipoles supported by a single mast and a switching network, an antenna with directional characteristics and forward gain can be simply constructed. This 7-MHz system uses several “slopers” equally spaced around a common center support. Each dipole is cut to  $\lambda/2$  and fed at the center with 50- $\Omega$  coax. The length of each feed line is 36 feet.

All of the feed lines go to a common point on the support (tower) where the switching takes place. The line length of 36 feet is just over  $3\lambda/8$ ,




which provides a useful quality. At 7 MHz, the coax looks inductive to the antenna when the end at the switching box is open circuited. This has the effect of adding inductance at the center of the sloping dipole element, which electrically lengthens the element. The 36-foot length of feed line serves to increase the length of the element about 5%. This makes any unused element appear to be a reflector.

The array is simple and effective. By selecting one of the slopers through a relay box located at the tower, the system becomes a parasitic array that can be electrically rotated. All but the driven element of the array become reflectors.

The physical layout is shown in **Fig 60**, and the basic materials required for the sloper system are shown in **Fig 61**. The height of the support point should be about 70 feet, but can be less and still give reasonable results. The upper portion of the sloper is 5 feet from the tower, suspended by rope. The wire makes an angle of  $60^\circ$  with the ground.

In **Fig 62**, the switch box is shown containing all the necessary relays to select the proper feed line for the desired direction. One feed line is selected at a time and the feed lines of those remaining are opened, **Fig 63**. In this way the array is electrically rotated. These relays are controlled from inside the shack with an appropriate power supply and rotary switch. For safety reasons and simplicity, 12-V dc relays are used. The control line consists of a five-conductor cable, one wire used as a common connection; the others go to the four relays. By using diodes in series with the relays and a dual-polarity power supply, the number of control wires can be reduced, as shown in Fig 63B.



**Fig 60—Five sloping dipoles suspended from one support. Directivity and forward gain can be obtained from this simple array. The top view shows how the elements should be spaced around the support.**




Fig 61—The basic materials required for the sloper system. The control box appears at the left, and the relay box at the right.




Fig 62—Inside view of relay box. Four relays provide control over five antennas. See text. The relays pictured here are Potter and Brumfeld type MR11D.




Fig 63—Schematic diagram for sloper control system. All relays are 12-V dc, DPDT, with 8-A contact ratings. At A, the basic layout, excluding control cable and antennas. Note that the braid of the coax is also open-circuited when not in use. Each relay is bypassed with 0.001- $\mu$ F capacitors. The power supply is a low current type. At B, diodes are used to reduce the number of control wires when using dc relays. See text.

Measurements indicate that this sloper array provides up to 20 dB front-to-back ratio and forward gain of about 4 dB over a single half-wave sloper. If one direction is the only concern, the switching system can be eliminated and the reflectors should be cut 5% longer than the resonant frequency. The one feature worth noting is the good F/B ratio. By arranging the system properly, a null can be placed in an unwanted direction, thus making it an effective receiving antenna. In the tests conducted with this antenna, the number of reflectors used were as few as one and as many as five. The optimum combination appeared to occur with four reflectors and one driven element. No tests were conducted with more than five reflectors. This same array can be scaled to 3.5 MHz for similar results.

## Low-Frequency (“Lowfer”) Antennas

The following section on low-frequency antennas is from material submitted by Andrew Corney, ZL2BBJ, and Bob Vernall, ZL2CA, and material from Curry Communications.

The *Low Frequency* band (known commonly as the *lowfer* band) ranges from 30 to 300 kHz, or in terms of wavelength from 10,000 to 1000 meters. Allocation of specific LF bands varies from one region of the world to another. For example, in the US the lowfer allocation is from 160 to 190 kHz, and the band is commonly referred to as 1750 meters.

The long wavelengths of LF bands introduce real challenges for amateur antennas. A frequency of 200 kHz corresponds to a wavelength of 1500 meters, where a full-sized  $\lambda/4$  vertical would be about 375 meters (1230 feet) high!

In the US, FCC regulations limit the antenna to a cylindrical area 50 feet high, with a diameter of 50 feet. This essentially restricts US lowfer antennas to top-loaded verticals, since a loop with those dimensions is not very practical. In terms of wavelength, a 15-meter high vertical is only  $0.0086 \lambda$  high on 1750 meters, putting it into the category of a *really tiny* antenna! The FCC also limits power input to the antenna to 1 W.

### ELECTRICALLY SMALL ANTENNAS

The impedance of electrically tiny antennas is highly reactive (capacitive for whips, inductive for loops), since they are too small to have any natural resonance. While any small antenna can be resonated by loading with inductance (or capacitance for a loop), antenna and ground losses overshadow whatever power is radiated to the far field. For amateur LF antennas, radiation resistance is conveniently expressed in milliohms, rather than ohms. The overall efficiency of an amateur LF antenna is unlikely to exceed 1%. In fact, 0.1% is a more realistic target for amateur LF antennas in suburban locations. Nevertheless, even 0.1% efficiency can achieve useful communication over several hundred kilometers.

### LF PROPAGATION CHARACTERISTICS

Contrasted with HF, the surface wave at LF is a very important propagation mode. Even at 1.8 MHz in the Medium Frequency (MF) spectrum, attenuation of the ground wave is relatively high, rendering the surface ground wave far less useful than at LF.

Surprisingly little radiated power can provide effective LF communication over hundreds of kilometers. At 180 kHz, 1 mW of radiated power will enable a CW signal to be copied at a range of 400 km over sea or good ground, and 300 km over poor ground, assuming a daytime noise level of about  $-10$  dB re 1  $\mu$ V/m in a 500-Hz bandwidth.

Daytime signals are remarkably steady in strength, providing good conditions for SSB, where 10 mW radiated power can be copied comfortably at 300 km over a seawater path or good ground. Daytime conditions are likely to favor specialized computer-controlled modes using low information rates, which promise much greater ranges than are possible with conventional CW.

During the night, sky-wave propagation by ionospheric reflection generally dominates the surface

wave for reception over longer distances. The skywave is strongly attenuated during daylight hours, but around sunset attenuation usually decreases. This results in progressive increases in DX signal strength, reaching a maximum four to six hours after sunset. The skywave is actually a mixture of waves resulting from different ionospheric-reflection mechanisms. The multipath nature of these means that they interfere with each other and with the ground wave to produce a random pattern of signal enhancements followed by deep fades. An enhancement will typically last several minutes, and similarly fading is generally slow.

Night-time DX signal strengths are generally much stronger than during the day. However, as night-time atmospheric noise tends to rise in sympathy with the sky-wave strength, there is less benefit from enhanced night-time propagation than we might expect. Nevertheless, the greatest communication ranges using conventional CW are usually achieved one or two hours after sunset at the mid point of a path. Fortunately there are some occasions when skywaves are favorable and QRN is lower than normal, the combination of which leads to DX opportunities.

## **POLARIZATION FOR LF**

The conductivity of most types of ground means that vertically polarized LF waves can propagate relatively unhindered as surface ground waves. Higher ground conductivity leads to lower propagation loss. On the other hand, horizontally polarized waves have an electric field component parallel to the conducting surface of the ground. This effectively “shorts out” the electric field, resulting in higher losses for horizontal polarization.

There is little choice in the matter—LF transmitting antennas must be vertically polarized. Even for sky-wave propagation (with its random polarization of received signals due to ionospheric reflections) a ground-mounted LF antenna responds mainly to the vertical component.

## **LF TRANSMITTING ANTENNAS**

Often an existing HF or MF wire antenna can be put to use on LF. L and T antennas were popular in the early days of LF radio transmission, and the principles still apply. If there are regulatory limits on the overall size of an LF antenna (as in the US), then a vertical with inductive center loading, with or without top loading, is the most suitable choice for making the most of a size-limited situation.

A top-loaded system, such as a T, is preferable to a straight coil-loaded vertical, since it can give a considerable increase in efficiency compared to a simple vertical of the same height. For a T antenna, the radiated power is proportional to the square of the “effective height.” The current in a vertical with no top loading tapers linearly from maximum at the bottom to zero at the top, and has an effective height of half the physical height of the antenna. The more top loading used, the higher the current at the top of the vertical section. With “infinite” capacitive top loading, the effective height is equal to the physical height, giving a four-fold increase in efficiency.

Multiple top loading wires and multiple ground radial wires (usually bare copper wire) buried just below ground level are highly recommended. Multiple earth rods can also be used to advantage, such as being connected to the far ends of radial wires, but are probably not as useful as adding more radial wires.

For estimating the capacitance of a T antenna made of wires, an approximation is to use 6 pF per meter for vertical wires, and 5 pF per meter for horizontal wires. When multiple close-spaced wires are used, proximity effect will reduce the net capacitance to less than if individual wires were summed in capacitance. For additional details on capacitive top-hat loading, especially multi-spoked “wagon wheel” structures, see discussion earlier in this chapter.

A low-loss (high-Q) base loading coil is needed to resonate the vertical at the operating frequency. The coil shape should have a diameter to length ratio of about 2.5 for highest Q (which is different from the optimum shape for HF inductors). Turns should be spaced by about one wire diameter. Tuning is fairly critical, and a variometer (some series turns on a spindle inside the loading coil) can be very handy for fine tuning.

## The ZL2CA LF Transmitting Antenna

See **Fig 64** for information on an ideal type of LF vertical for amateur use. In most cases amateurs will need to adapt the ideal to suit the practicalities of a given QTH. A top-loaded T antenna is used by ZL2CA for operation in the vicinity of 180 kHz. It is not a large antenna, but performs reasonably well. The vertical feed is near the middle of the property, and rises some 8 meters to a horizontal fiberglass rod mounted near the top of a pipe mast that is also used for mounting other antennas. The fiberglass rod provides good insulation and keeps the vertical feed wire a meter or so away from the grounded pipe mast. It also terminates all top-loading wires.


Top-loading wires at ZL2CA go in various directions, customized to fit on the particular property. The loading system toward the rear of the property is actually a horizontal 40-meter delta loop. The corner feed point can be manually changed from a coax balun (balanced feed in HF mode) to a single wire coming from the fiberglass standoff (unbalanced feed in LF mode). The delta loop feed point is on the pipe mast near the fiberglass standoff used for LF, and is accessible by ladder. The other two poles supporting the delta loop are some 10 meters high. Top-loading wires toward the front of the property make up a fan of six wires, varying in length from 11 to 17 meters. There is a seventh wire running across the far end of the fan to support the fan wires at an average of around 9 meters above ground.

The ground system consists of 13 bare copper radials running to various parts of the property, and several of these have a driven-pipe earth at the far end. The measured values of the impedance at the bottom of the vertical wire are shown in **Table 8**. The antenna is self-resonant around 1.4 MHz and is useful on 160 meters as well as LF. The resistance measured between the RF earth and mains earth is 4.1  $\Omega$ . The earth resistance probably varies with soil moisture. Some of the antenna top loading is galvanized wire, and this may contribute to the RF resistance of the antenna. However, the lower resistance with increasing frequency in the above table suggests it is not a major factor. The loading coil used has a Q of just over 300, which adds some 3  $\Omega$  in series with the overall loop resistance.

The antenna vertical wire is taken from a carefully selected point around 57 turns on the loading coil. The loaded Q of the whole antenna is about 60, so tuning is critical. Matching to 50- $\Omega$  coaxial cable is by tapping 2 turns from the “cold” end of the loading coil. An alternative is an L match consisting

of 30 nF of polypropylene capacitors between coax inner and ground, with the series inductance of some 20  $\mu$ H in effect being a small part of the loading coil. The matching bandwidth of the antenna is satisfactory for SSB.

An estimate of radiation resistance at 180 kHz is 10 milliohms, giving an efficiency of 0.08% (the net series resistance is some 13  $\Omega$ ). Applying 100 W results in about 2.7 A of antenna current, and a radiated power of up to 80 mW. The voltage applied from



**Fig 64—An idealized lower vertical-T antenna. A base loading coil with a variometer for fine-tuning is used, along with capacitive-hat top-loading wires. Note that three vertical wires are used to increase the bandwidth and that the performance gets better with more top-loading wires to increase the top capacity. The ground-radial system consists of as many wires as possible, buried a few inches below the surface.**

**Table 8**

**Measured Impedance at the Bottom of ZL2CA's T Antenna**

Frequency (kHz)	Resistance ( $\Omega$ )	Capacitance (pF)
100	14	790
165	11	800
190	10	805
250	9	810
300	8	815

the loading coil to the antenna is about 6000 V. It is difficult to know the specific radiated power, but whatever it is, it provides a lot of fun for chasing DX when propagation conditions are good. This has resulted in logging two-way CW contacts to 670 km and two-way SSB contacts to 460 km. SSB has been monitored at distances to 700 km.

### **Other Transmitting Antenna Types**

Some lowfers in the US use helically wound short verticals, with top-hat loading, such as are described in some detail earlier in this chapter. They use three 10-foot sections of 2-inch diameter white PVC pipe. The three sections are coupled together with wood dowels and are wound with #22 wire spaced evenly along each section, where the turns are spaced by diameter of the wire. Solder lugs are bolted to each end of each section to connect to the wire. Once the three sections are joined together to make up the 30-foot long radiator, wire jumpers are soldered across adjoining lugs. The final antenna must be pruned for resonance.

### **Loops**

The top-loaded vertical antenna is almost always the best choice for an amateur LF transmitting antenna. (In fact it is virtually the only choice in the US due to FCC regulations.) Another possible alternative outside the US is some form of loop. However, a top-loaded vertical in a suburban backyard will have a much higher radiation resistance than can be achieved by a loop antenna in the same space, and the directional properties of the loop are usually more of a hindrance than a help.

## **INSULATION FOR TRANSMITTING ANTENNAS**

High voltages are present on the antenna and feeders during LF transmission. Especially for a loaded vertical antenna, the whole antenna system is subject to much higher voltages than in typical HF antennas. Adequate insulators are needed at every support point on any LF transmitting antenna. Egg-type insulators are generally not up to the task, especially when they are wet. Leakage paths across insulators should be as long as practical.

Monofilament nylon (otherwise known as heavy duty fishing line) provides cheap and very effective insulation for LF antennas. It is also visually unobtrusive. The length of a nylon line insulator can be as long as is convenient, and the smooth surface is washed clean in the rain. The life of monofilament nylon insulators is approximately five years, depending on wind conditions and ultraviolet radiation levels.

The feeder to an LF antenna will generally need to exit either the cabinet of a tuner box or go through the shack wall, so very good feedthrough insulation should be provided. Plastic tubing can be used to sleeve a feeder wire that passes through a feedthrough hole in a wall. Several layers of plastic tubing can be applied by using appropriately selected diameters. If arcing is suspected, it can be monitored with a nearby VHF receiver, and it should soon be obvious if arcing occurs during LF transmission. It is hard enough to radiate a small amount of power at LF, so wasting power on unwanted discharges must be avoided!

Base insulators can be very simple. Some use a glass soft-drink bottle held in a hollow cinder block on the ground. An old-fashioned “Coke” bottle makes a great insulator!

## **LF RECEIVING ANTENNAS**

Receiving LF signals is generally much easier than transmitting LF signals. Electrical noise from thunderstorms travels vast distances, establishing a background atmospheric noise that greatly exceeds the receiver thermal noise. Inefficient receiving antennas are tolerable as long as they can still hear the background noise. Man-made radio noise is usually a more serious limitation in most suburban situations, as the electricity mains conduct “hash” around the neighborhood. Switching-mode power supplies in domestic appliances such as PCs are one of the more obnoxious noise sources.

At LF, local noise is spread more by being conducted by mains electrical wiring, rather than by radiation. An LF transmitting antenna often couples rather well to the near field of mains wiring, giving the LF band an undeserved reputation as being excessively noisy. A dramatic improvement can result from using a small active antenna located a modest distance (20 feet or more) away from any




building supplied with mains power. The small aperture of the active antenna reduces coupling to the mains wiring without sacrificing far-field reception, provided the very high impedance of the small antenna is properly matched to the receiver with a low-noise-figure buffer amplifier.

It is also very important to prevent mains interference from reaching the antenna by the cable from the receiver to the antenna by decoupling the cable using chokes and/or isolating transformers. The active-antenna power supply should also be well decoupled from the mains. It is also very important to detune the transmitting antenna while receiving, to prevent noise picked up by the large antenna from coupling into the receive antenna. This coupling can occur over a surprisingly long distance.


Cable decoupling is particularly important for an active vertical antenna, **Fig 65**, where the buffer amplifier is grounded directly beneath the antenna, and isolated from common-mode cable interference

by means of a transformer to isolate the signal and a bifilar choke to isolate the power supply. The JFET buffer amplifier used to match the very high antenna impedance to the low cable impedance must have a low noise figure, together with a high second-order intermodulation threshold to prevent interference from strong AM broadcast signals.

The type of FET used is important, the J310 being one of the more satisfactory. Two FETs in



**Fig 65—Remote active lower preamplifier.** Note that careful attention must be paid to ground isolation for best S/N.



**Fig 66—Remote active preamplifier for directional loop receiving antenna.**

parallel increase the second order threshold by 6 dB. Input filtering may still be needed if the antenna is within a few kilometers of high-power broadcast and television stations. It is not commonly appreciated that the voltage induced by LF and MF signals is proportional to the average height of the antenna above ground, rather than to the length of the antenna. Even a small antenna mounted high up may pick up enough AM broadcast signals to overload the antenna.

A remotely tuned receiving loop having a performance limited by natural noise (external QRN) is shown in **Fig 66**. A smaller loop is satisfactory for nighttime use but will not have a good enough noise figure for daytime work, when noise levels are much lower. A larger loop with fewer turns will work well if it is not too near mains wiring. A balanced unshielded loop is easier to construct than a shielded loop and rejects electric fields just as well. This is important if the signals from an active loop and active vertical are to be combined in a manner similar to that used in direction-finding, which can give a signal-to-noise improvement of 2 dB. This does not sound impressive but can make all the difference in whether or not a weak signal can be copied.

## **LF ANTENNA TEST EQUIPMENT**

High permeability ferrite cores must be used at LF for current transformers and directional wattmeters. Ferrite cores salvaged from surplus TV line output transformers are very useful. An inline RF current monitor with the antenna feed passing through the core (equivalent to a single turn primary) is an effective way of sampling antenna current. The secondary can be 20 to 30 turns, loaded with 27  $\Omega$ , and a simple diode feeding a low-current meter through a suitable range resistor. The current monitor can even be calibrated against an RF thermocouple ammeter. If the monitor is at the high-voltage end of a vertical antenna loading coil, take care to ensure that adequate insulation is present around the antenna feed passing through the toroidal core.

Many amateurs use even simpler instrumentation. Three NE-2 neon bulbs in series placed near the antenna serve as a very useful indicator of tuning, although they can be a little hard to see in direct sunlight.

When a new antenna is erected it is useful to know the capacitance in the case of a vertical, or the inductance in the case of a loop, so that matching circuits can be designed. An audio component bridge will give an answer that is fairly close to the LF capacitance value, provided precautions are taken against mains pickup overloading the bridge detector. Another method is to use a signal generator and oscilloscope to find the resonant frequency with a known inductance for a vertical. This method also enables the loss component to be estimated from the observed  $Q$ .

Comparative before-and-after tests on transmitting antenna effectiveness following a hoped-for improvement (for example, more top loading or better earth system) can be made by measuring the open-circuit voltage from a navigation beacon. The open-circuit voltage is directly proportional to the effective height of the antenna, and any improvement in effective height means an improvement in efficiency. One way of obtaining a relative open-circuit voltage measurement is to couple the antenna to an LF receiver through a capacitor of a few picofarads. Of course, the coupling capacitor should not be disturbed between changes to the system.

## **SAFETY PRECAUTIONS**

While amateurs can have a lot of fun with RF experimentation at LF, there are important safety precautions required for transmitting antennas. Most LF antennas are resonant arrangements, with relatively high  $Q$ , and high RF voltages are present. There should be no possibility of humans or animals coming into contact with exposed feeders or antenna wires. All insulation material should be very good. Although the power radiated into the far field is minute, the near fields in the close vicinity of an LF antenna can be intense enough to exceed the electromagnetic field exposure limits applying in some countries. The antenna should not be energized if people are close to any of the conductors.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

Source material and more extended discussion of topics covered in this chapter can be found in the references given below.

- D. Atchley, Jr., "Putting the Quarter-Wave Sloper to Work on 160," *QST*, Jul 1979, pp 19-20.
- J. Belrose, "Transmission Line Low Profile Antennas," *QST*, Dec 1975, pp 19-25.
- L. Braskamp, AA6GL, "MOBILE, a Computer Program for Short HF Verticals," *The ARRL Antenna Compendium*, Vol 4, pp 92-96.
- G. H. Brown, "The Phase and Magnitude of Earth Currents Near Radio Transmitting Antennas," *Proc. IRE*.
- G. H. Brown, R. F. Lewis and J. Epstein, "Ground Systems as a Factor in Antenna Efficiency," *Proc. IRE*, Jun 1937, pp 753-787.
- P. Carr, N4PC, "A Two Band Half-Square Antenna With Coaxial Feed," *CQ*, Sep 1992, pp 40-45.
- P. Carr, N4PC, "A DX Antenna For 40 Meters," *CQ*, Sep 1994, pp 40-43.
- A. Christman, KB8I, "Elevated Vertical Antennas for the Low Bands," *The ARRL Antenna Compendium*, Vol 5, pp 11-18.
- Curry Communications, PO Box 1884, Burbank, CA 91507, a kit manufacturer of lowfer CW transceivers.
- D. DeMaw, "Additional Notes on the Half Sloper," *QST*, Jul 1979, pp 20-20.
- P. Dodd, *The LF Experimenter's Source Book* (RSGB, 1996).
- A. C. Doty, Jr., J. A. Frey and H. J. Mills, "Efficient Ground Systems for Vertical Antennas," *QST*, Feb 1983, pp 9-12.
- R. Fosberg, "Some Notes on Ground Systems for 160 Meters," *QST*, Apr 1965, pp 65-67.
- J. Hall, "Off-Center-Loaded Dipole Antennas," *QST*, Sep 1974, pp 28-34.
- G. Hubbell, "Feeding Grounded Towers as Radiators," *QST*, pp 32-33, 140, 142.
- P. H. Lee, *The Amateur Radio Vertical Antenna Handbook*, 1st edition (Port Washington, NY: Cowan Publishing Corp., 1974).
- C. J. Michaels, "Some Reflections on Vertical Antennas," *QST*, July 1987, pp 15-19; feedback *QST*, Aug 1987, p 39.
- Rashed and C. Tai, "A New Class of Wire Antennas," 1982 International Symposium Digest, *Antennas and Propagation*, Vol 2, IEEE.
- T. Russell, N4KG, "Simple, Effective, Elevated Ground-Plane Antennas," *QST*, June 1994, pp 45-46.
- F. J. Schnell, "The Flagpole Deluxe," *QST*, Mar 1978, pp 29-32.
- R. Severns, N6LF, "Using the Half-Square Antenna for Low-Band DXing," *The ARRL Antenna Compendium*, Vol 5, pp 35-42.
- R. Severns, N6LF, "Broadbanding the Half-Square Antenna For 80-meter DXing," *The ARRL Antenna Compendium*, Vol 5, pp 43-44.
- R. Severns, N6LF, "An Improved Double Extended Zepp," *The ARRL Antenna Compendium*, Vol 4, pp 78-80.
- J. Seveck, "The Ground-Image Vertical Antenna," *QST*, Jul 1971, pp 16-19, 22.
- J. Seveck, "The W2FMI Ground-Mounted Short Vertical," *QST*, Mar 1973, pp 13-18, 41.
- J. Seveck, "The Constant-Impedance Trap Vertical," *QST*, Mar 1974, pp 29-34.
- J. Seveck, "Short Ground-Radial Systems for Short Verticals," *QST*, Apr 1978, pp 30-33.
- J. Seveck, W2FMI, *Transmission Line Transformers*, Noble Publishing, Atlanta, 1996, p 9-28.
- W. Smith, W6BCX, "Bet My Money On A Bobtail Beam," *CQ*, Mar 1948, pp 21-23, 92.
- J. Stanford, NNØF, "Linear-Loaded Short Wire Antennas," *The ARRL Antenna Compendium*, Vol 5, pp 105-107.
- J. Swank, W8HXR, "The S-Meter Bender," *73*, Jun 1978, pp 170-173.
- J. Tyskewicz, "The Heli-Rope Antenna," *QST*, Jun 1971.
- B. Vester, K3BC, "The Half-Square Antenna," *QST*, Mar 1974, pp 11-14.
- A. D. Watt, *VLF Radio Engineering* (Pergamon Press, 1967) (out of print).
- Prediction of Sky-wave Field Strength at Frequencies Between About 150 and 1700 kHz*, ITU Doc 3/14, Radiocommunication Study Groups, Feb 1995.
- Vertical Antenna Classics* (ARRL, 1995)